

At a regular meeting of the Southampton County Board of Supervisors held in the Board Room of the Southampton County Office Center, 26022 Administration Center Drive, Courtland, Virginia on June 26, 2017 at 6:00 PM.

SUPERVISORS PRESENT

Dallas O. Jones, Chairman (Drewryville)
Ronald M. West, Vice Chairman (Berlin-Ivor)
Dr. Alan W. Edwards (Jerusalem)
R. Randolph Cook (Newsoms)
Barry T. Porter (Franklin)
S. Bruce Phillips (Capron)

SUPERVISORS ABSENT

Carl J. Faison (Boykins-Branchville)

OTHERS PRESENT

Michael W. Johnson, County Administrator (Clerk)
Lynette C. Lowe, Deputy County Administrator/Chief Financial Officer
Beth Lewis, Community Development Deputy Director
Julien W. Johnson, Jr. Public Utilities Director
Richard E. Railey, Jr., County Attorney
Amanda N. Smith, Administrative Assistant
Frances Duke, Administrative Assistant

OTHERS ABSENT

Chairman Jones called the meeting to order.

After the Pledge of Allegiance, Supervisor West gave the invocation.

Chairman Jones stated that the first item on the agenda is a closed session.

Supervisor west moved that the Board enter into a closed meeting in accordance with provisions set out in the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended, for the following purposes:

- 1) In accordance with Section 2.2-3711 (A) (5), Discussion with the staff from FSEDI concerning prospective businesses or industries or the expansion of existing businesses or industries where no previous announcement has been made of the business' or industry's' interest in locating or expanding its facilities in the community; and
- 2) In accordance with Section 2.2-3711 (A) (3) and (29), Discussion or consideration of the sale price of approximately ten (10) acres or publicly held real property in the Turner Tract Industrial Park, including the terms and scope of a public contract for certain infrastructure site improvements thereon, discussion in an open meeting would adversely affect the bargaining position or negotiating strategy of the public body.

A motion is required to convene a closed meeting for the purposes described above.

Following a second by Supervisor Phillips, the Board approved the motion to go into closed meeting with a voice vote recorded as follows:

Dallas O. Jones	AYE
Ronald M. West	AYE
R. Randolph Cook	AYE
Alan W. Edwards	AYE
S. Bruce Phillips	AYE
Barry T. Porter	AYE

BOARD ENTERS INTO CLOSED MEETING AT 6:05 P.M.

BOARD RETURNS TO OPEN SESSION AT 7:00 P.M.

Chairman Jones states it is 7:00 p.m. and I'll call this June 26, 2017 board meeting back to order, and it's necessary at this time that we have a certification resolution.

Supervisor West read the certification resolution to go back into open session.

RESOLUTION OF CLOSED MEETING

WHEREAS, the Southampton County Board of Supervisors had convened a closed meeting on this date pursuant to an affirmative recorded vote and in accordance with the provisions of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act; and

WHEREAS, Section 2.2-3712 (D) of the Code of Virginia requires a certification by the Board that such closed meeting was conducted in conformity with Virginia law.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Southampton County Board of Supervisors hereby certifies that, to the best of each member's knowledge, (i) only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements by Virginia law were discussed in the closed meeting to which this certification resolution applies, and (ii) only such public matters as were identified in the motion convening the closed meeting were heard, discussed and considered by the Southampton County Board of Supervisors.

Supervisor West made a motion to adopt the certification resolution.

Chairman Jones asks any to second that?

Supervisor Porter states second.

Chairman Jones called for a vote that we adopt the certification resolution.

The Board approved the Certification Resolution with a voice vote recorded as follows:

Dallas O. Jones	AYE
Ronald M. West	AYE
R. Randolph Cook	AYE
Alan W. Edwards	AYE
S. Bruce Phillips	AYE
Barry T. Porter	AYE

The motion carried.

Chairman Jones states good evening everyone. We have a new person on board and at this time Mr. Johnson, let us know who that new person is.

Michael Johnson states I would be glad to. Fran you want to stand up. Pleased to introduce Mrs. Fran Duke. Fran joined us about two weeks ago. She is our new administrative assistant and will be providing the clerical support for the Board of Supervisors, Planning Commission, and the Board of Zone Appeals.

Chairman Jones states welcome Fran. There was no action coming out of our closed session. At this time we'll have citizens comment period. Come right up.

Ash Cutchins states thank you Mr. Chairman. My name is Ash Cutchins and Mr. Edwards is my supervisor. I would like to inform you that if a referendum is offered on the ballot that I would vote against moving the courthouse from Courtland. I believe ample space can be found beside the jail, above the records room, and across the street next to the Baptist church for parking. And, doesn't the county already own some land behind Grayson and Emma's; that used to be called the Agri business park. I think there is probably room back there for a courthouse if you can't fix this one. Another thing, I also thought that once the system fee was reduced that the awful \$200 solid waste fee would disappear. I guess I was naïve and deceived. I would also like to share briefly something I received last week from an old friend about government in general; it is brief. Once upon a time the government had a vast scrap yard in the middle of a desert. They said someone may steal from it at night, so they created a night watchman position and hired a person for the job. Then the lawmakers said how does the watchman know what to do and get instructions? So they created a planning department and hired two people, one to write instructions and one to do time studies. Then the lawmakers said, how do we know that the night watchman is doing his job correctly? So they created a quality control department and hired two more people, one to do a study and one to write the reports. Then the lawmakers said, how are these people going to get paid? So they created two more positions a time keeper and a payroll officer and hired two more people. Then the lawmakers said who will be accountable for all these people? So they created an administrative section and hired three more people. An Administrator, and assistant administrator and a legal secretary. Then the lawmakers said, you have had this department in operation for over a year, and we are \$918,000 over budget. We simply must cut back. So they laid off the night watchman. Can you see why some of us are worried and skeptical of our government and all else? One more thing, three or four years ago I was appointed to a committee by this group, to study the high cost of our engineering consultants. We interviewed five or six companies, and made our recommendations, which were unanimous, and one of our strongest recommendations was to disassociate ourselves with the Timmons Group. We felt like their fees were excessive. It appears, unfortunately, I am afraid, that our recommendations were in vain. Thank you for letting the public speak.

Chairman Jones states alright. Anyone else?

Warren Simmons states Mr. Chairman and members of the Board, my name is Warren Simmons and I live at 27511 Southampton Parkway. I am a resident land owner in the Southampton Parkway business corridor. I am here to express my disappointment in the lack of support for the resolution to request a reduction in the speed limit through Southampton County's largest business area. Let's make something clear from the previous meeting, not you or any county employees were asked to break the law. You were asked to express your concern for the safety and economic wellbeing of the citizens and businesses of Southampton County by requesting VDOT to lower the speed in our business corridor to 45 miles per hour. We now have over 1,200 of your family, friends, neighbors, and constituents that have signed our petitions. Thereby, expressing their concerns for safety; for themselves and families while traveling in this area. We have not gone door to door to get these signatures, rather citizens have eagerly pursued us to sign the petitions. Our group has recently had a meeting with Mr. James Utterback, Hampton Roads VDOT Administrator. He was informed of our concerns and fears for the safety of our employees, businesses, farmers, and residents. Two important things came out of our visit. Number one, VDOT calls our business corridor a bypass. We pointed out that it is not a bypass, but an original part of highway 58, which connects the Courtland bypass with the Franklin bypass. This section of 58 was 4 lanes in the 1960's, and businesses such as William's Chrysler Plymouth, Southampton Tractor Company, The Waverly Shop, and G& G Oil Company came immediately. They joined the Nottoway House, which already did business in the corridor. Number two, Mr. Utterback asked about your support. We said we wouldn't have it. His comment back to us was, "we need your support to pursue and present this issue". I am here again to ask for your support. Two years ago this week we had your support. We discussed the traffic study from VDOT and you announced your verdict on a motion which requested that VDOT work on a reduction to the speed in this business corridor. Since that time there has been no progress or follow up on that motion. I would like to quote a few thing from the June 22, 2015 minutes. Mr. Porter, you said, "I would like to see if the Board is open to requesting VDOT to look at reducing the speed limit in that study because it is extremely dangerous. It is a dangerous section that I have had personal experiences with". Supervisor West said, "We need a study to slow down people there." Mr. Edwards, you recommended the motion and Mr. Porter you seconded. Chairman Jones stated, "let's not wait to do something important because that's a lot of people that may lose their life

June 26, 2017

before they change the speed limit, we don't want to lose anyone". Gentlemen, you promised to work to lower the speed in this business corridor, what has changed? You are agents of Southampton County and its citizens, appears to be compromised and for what reason? It is the Hampton Roads Transportation Board's concern for getting all the trucks to the west, or people of Virginia Beach want to hurry and go on vacation. The reduction in the speed will cost them a whopping 15 -20 seconds through the business area. In your position, first and foremost, your primary interest should be Southampton County and its citizens and there should be less confusion on your part. If so, then settle on your position. Sirs, do not take this business lightly. We are people in danger and your lack of support is making things worse rather than working with the citizens to make it better. This area has 28 businesses which are being compromised financially. Since your last meeting, a group of business people from this area met with Mr. Johnson and Mr. Kee to let them know the traffic situation in this corridor is costing them business. Gentleman, when our businesses lose money, Southampton County loses tax revenue. It is one thing to tell the citizens we have to raise taxes due to inflation or to add services, but it would be very embarrassing to raise taxes to cover lost revenues, which you could have helped avoid. This area has over 22,000 vehicles per day and growing every day. Many of them at maximum speed. This area now contains many of our commercial businesses, but they also promote our future tourism and buy and sell products, which are produced and are grown in Southampton County. We and that is everyone in Southampton County needs to do all we can to protect and promote these businesses. When the stop light at the new overpass comes down, the problems and safety issues present on the west end of the corridor will then extend all the way to the stop light at Food Lion, creating a double amount of problems there. We have only one grocery store in all of Southampton County. Do we want to jeopardize it? What if a CVS Pharmacy, Urgent Care, a chain restaurant, or some other commercial business would want to locate in our county?

Chairman Jones states sir, the gong went off a long time ago. I have given you time. You need to make your comments shorter. It is not fair to everybody else, if you continue on and they have to stop. We understand what you are trying to do. Is there anyone else?

Linda Simmons states since you were so rude to my husband, I am Linda Simmons and I will finish what he had to say.

Chairman Jones states thank you.

Linda Simmons states this area not only contains many of our commercial businesses, but they also promote our future tourism as well our products, which are produced and grown in Southampton County. We, and that is everyone in Southampton County, we need to do what we can to protect and promote these businesses. When the stop light at the new over pass comes down, the problems and the safety issues present on the west end corridor will then extend all the way to the Food Lion intersection creating a double amount of problems there. I am not telling you anything you don't know, you all agreed in 2015, just jogging your memory. We have only one grocery store there, in all of Southampton County that is a full line grocery. You want to jeopardize that too? And if some businesses, say Urgent care, CVS Pharmacy, a chain restaurant, or some other commercial business would want to locate in our county, where would you put them? Capron, Newsoms, Sedley, downtown Courtland? The answer is, if these are the only locations, then we will probably not attract any new business. Our only business corridor on highway 58 just east of Courtland is our only option. Please don't lose it. Our people deserve better, you can do better. Get behind this effort and work together to work for a more prosperous Southampton County Virginia. Thank you for your time. With that, Ash told a story and I am going to tell one. I worked for the manager for the largest department at Union Camp/ International Paper in the world. 400 employees. He called his managers, a board of 7 or 8 together with myself in a room, just like we are tonight. Every time he asked a question everybody would nod their head. And finally at the end of the meeting he said, "You know, if everybody is just going to nod their head and agree with me, I don't need you". Thank you for you time.

Chairman Jones states anyone else?

Bob Felts states, Chairman Jones, board members, that is a hard act to follow. I bring you greetings tonight from the registrar's office. I am Bob Felts secretary of the local electoral board. I would like to give you a little report about the election we had two weeks ago. The primary election, what they call a dual election. We have approximately 12,300 voters in Southampton

County. When it came to the polls last week, they were asked whether they wanted a Democratic or a Republican ballot. So, we gave out 856 Democratic ballots, we gave out 1,137 Republican ballots at all of our polling places. That's a total of 1,993 voters that chose to cast their votes. It represents approximately 16.3% of the voters that are registered in the county. We had estimated approximately 30% voter turnout when we had to order paper ballots ahead of time. We try to be good stewards when we order these ballots because they cost about 22 to 28 cents apiece. All of those that are not used on Election Day are then stored at the clerk's office to be destroyed later on. So we were targeted and stayed within our target amount. We had one electoral board official who worked with us, and we had great support from the office of election throughout the county. We even made John, chief over in Sebrell, he is not here tonight, but he did an excellent job for us at that precinct. Also, we have a week left, so we celebrate a half year with Lynn Burgess our new registrar and her assistant, Dale Holt. They were in Richmond last week for state certified training, along with myself and David Price, vice chair of the electoral board, so I want you to know that I felt like our office is in good shape and running well. We look forward to the November election. Thank you all for supporting us financially and Mike I want to thank you and Hart Council for getting men and help. The machines now have to be delivered the Monday before the election and picked up the Wednesday after the election. So we appreciate you all's support and helping us do our job to get the election taken care of. And we didn't have any influence from Russian voters.

Chairman Jones states thank you. Anyone else?

Hunter Darden states I'm Hunter Darden. I haven't been here in a while. Cell towers: I sure hope the new ones that they are trying to put up are going to help because service in Sedley to Hunterdale and south of my parent's house on 258 is worse now than it has been in 5 to 10 years. You can't even get on the phone leaving Sedley to and talk to Hunterdale without losing your signal. The strength of the signal is worse, the service is getting worse, and they always tell you that you are in the band. If you are on the edge of the band and you drive one more mile, you lose the call that you have never lost before. Something is changing. Anyway, I don't know if you all can do anything with it, but I wouldn't let them put another cell phone tower in Southampton County until they fix the problems with the ones they got. Solar farms. Riding with the board of equalization, board of assessors, excuse me, we had the privilege to ride over to the solar farms. And I have even gone back and looked. And don't let anybody ever tell you that you will be able to put these farms back like they were. It will never happen. They have stripped the top soil, buried it in the ravines, one of the farms is nothing but pure clay. And I have never seen so many rocks. You don't see any local contractor trucks, you don't see any local contractor equipment. And it's just, anyway, look and I don't care who ever these people were that wanted to sign up for it, it's fine, it is their land, but don't let anybody tell you they are going to put it back like it was. It will never happen. And this is just for you all's information, I had a meeting with Enviva, the American Tree Farm, Franklin Lumber, and the Virginia Department of Forestry and at this meeting at the saw mill, I have been in the timber business for a long time, I have never heard anything as bad as this; our new facility Enviva will not take any of the wood off of any solar panel farm. They are not going to take any of the wood off these gas lines that we are getting and if you decide to put an industrial park or build a road through this county, they will not take the wood. I had no idea we were going to have a company that came here to support Southampton County and the surrounding areas of wood is going to be totally wasted. Anything conversion, they will not take the wood off of it. So I am just leaving you with that information and I am not in support of the new courthouse. Thank you.

Chairman Jones states thank you. Anyone else?

Glenn Updike states that's a long walk. I would like take a minute or two and the first thing I would like to say I looked at the paper and saw all these foreclosure signs for unpaid taxes. I don't disagree with that, but I do disagree with constantly increasing taxes and taxes and taxes on the less influential and less privileged people. And for you all to raise taxes and turn around and give it away is unbelievable. First thing I noticed is the notices of the district. We get absolutely nothing, I repeat absolutely nothing from it. And every year, they got their hands out wanting more money from our tax payers. It's unbelievable. The second thing we give away, and I think it is millions of dollars, is to this Timmons Group. The Timmons group is one of the highest costs for consultants in the country, and yet, I have heard this board put them back on the list of consultants we can use. How can this happen? And we can go down the list of all the different things that they have done for us. The sewage system. They are the ones that preached you got to

June 26, 2017

have the sewage system extended for the next 20 years. Now what have we got? We got a 30 million dollar debt. Look at the sewer system. They came in and did the bidding, then they came back and said you have to pay us another 400,000 dollars because we don't have cost surplus. They rip us off every time we turn and so you all, as a county to ignore the citizens, after they put their time and effort in the groups with all these issues, you ignore them. You are just ignoring the citizens all together. It is just unbelievable the direction that this county is going.

Chairman Jones states thank you. Anyone else? Citizen comment period is now closed. Anyone have any concerns with the minutes? If not the minute's stand approved. We will go to Item 6, highway matters.

Michael Johnson states in your agenda package, you will find project updates on all current VDOT projects, Mr. Chairman. If you have any questions, I will be glad to answer them.

Chairman Jones states does anyone have any questions, yes sir, go ahead.

Supervisor Phillips states I thought we were expecting a report from Jerry Kee or Mr. Lomax on the traffic study.

Michael Johnson states Mr. Kee has had some health issues and he was in hospital over the weekend and I think he was planning on being here tonight, but he is unable to be here. I have not received any report back on the traffic study yet.

Supervisor Phillips states I would just say that to those who commented on the traffic, this Board is concerned about the traffic. Every time Mr. Kee or Mr. Lomax has been to this board meeting, I have asked them. In the last traffic study request, they said they were going to put up the lights that would slow the traffic before they got to the stop light at Food Lion. And yet, they still have not done that, but the traffic study, they also did a speed traffic study in this last study that has just been completed, and as you can hear, we were expecting that information, and I think that this board will respond to your concerns, but we were trying to receive the report before we made a finding. I hope you will understand.

Chairman Jones states alright. Any concerns Mr. West?

Supervisor West states no more than why, it first started in the Berlin and Ivor area, now ceased and I don't know what the issue is. I understood that they hired contractors to come out, but then a problem arose. Could you find out what the situation is? It is really, really bad. David and I were talking, and it is unbelievable. The shoulders and the ditch banks themselves, so please ask some questions on that.

Chairman Jones states Mr. Edwards?

Supervisor Edwards states yeah, I have one thing to bring up. Mike, if you could convey this to whoever needs to know, if they are not here tonight, we talked about this time and time again the last couple of years and we don't seem to be getting anywhere with it. If you start in Courtland and come up Flaggy Run, and you come up that hill to the dump, there are a lot of culverts under the road and in driveways there, which are plugged up. Completely plugged up. And we need something done about that because when it rains, that road fills with water. There is no place for it to go. But this is not the first time we have complained about that and I know they are strapped for cash and time, just like anybody else, but I would hope that we could put a little something behind this again and get something done. That is all I have.

Chairman Jones states Mr. Phillips?

Supervisor Phillips states my comment also on Flaggy Run, right past Burgess Trucking office on the other side of the road there is a big hard wood tree down.

Michael Johnson states Governor Darden Road.

Supervisor Phillips states thank you, I stand corrected. Just past Burgess Trucking office, I think it is on Jimmie's land, there is a dead tree right beside the road. I know the tree trimmers are in the county and I would thank that Mr. Kee and Mr. Lomax for what they have done already, I see

June 26, 2017

some trees that are being trimmed and cut down on 35. But this tree is probably 60 feet tall right beside the road. It is dead and needs to be cut down.

Michael Johnson states I have heard a couple of people refer to Mr. Lomax. He has been reassigned to the district office, so Mr. Kee is the person in charge locally right now.

Chairman Jones states Mr. Cook?

Supervisor Cook states I already talked to the superintendent.

Chairman Jones states alright. We do not have any appointments on item 7, I will go to the reports: financial reports, sheriff's office, animal control, litter control, treasurer's office, building permits, cooperative extension, solid waste, and personnel, Mr. Johnson.

Michael Johnson states there just a couple of hires to report. As I mentioned earlier, Mrs. Frances Duke, effective June the 13th in county administration, annual salary \$30,418. And then Colin J Wright, sheriff's office effective June the 5th, annual salary \$35,213.

Chairman Jones states alright.

Supervisor Edwards states I see that Mr. Britt is not here again tonight. I would like to request for the next meeting we have information on where we stand on the uncollected taxes, where taxes are concerned. We have not had a report on that in a while.

Chairman Jones states okay, so we will go to item number 9, financial matters.

Michael Johnson states first thing, Mr. Chairman is the appropriation resolution for the school fund. You have a copy of it in your agenda package. It appropriates \$176,028.72 in revenue from various sources to Southampton County Public Schools. The revenues were derived from tuition, expenditure refunds, reimbursements and grants; it does not include any new money.

June 26, 2017

REVENUE APPROPRIATION JUNE 26, 2017
(REVENUE RECEIVED FOR ABOVE EXPENDITURES)

SCHOOL FUND		
3-205-15020-0010	TUITION	7,755.00
3-205-15020-0010	TUITION	5,170.00
3-205-15020-0010	TUITION	8,190.00
3-205-15020-0010	TUITION	5,480.00
3-205-15020-0010	TUITION	5,541.00
3-205-15020-0010	TUITION	3,894.00
3-205-018990-0032	INSURANCE	1,918.00
3-205-18990-0060	SCHOOL BLUE CROSS BLUE SHIELD	1,519.00
3-205-18990-0060	SCHOOL BLUE CROSS BLUE SHIELD	159.00
3-205-18990-0060	SCHOOL BLUE CROSS BLUE SHIELD	6,159.00
3-205-18990-0060	SCHOOL BLUE CROSS BLUE SHIELD	200.00
3-205-18990-0100	EXPENDITURE REFUNDS	3,284.00
3-205-18990-0100	EXPENDITURE REFUNDS	131.00
3-205-18990-0100	EXPENDITURE REFUNDS	65,584.93
3-205-18990-0100	EXPENDITURE REFUNDS	2,590.88
3-205-18990-0101	DONATIONS	1,940.00
3-205-18990-0101	DONATIONS	60.00
3-205-18990-0101	DONATIONS	50,000.00
3-205-18990-0200	E-RATES	6,893.11
	REVENUE SCHOOL FUND	<u>176,028.72</u>
		=====
	TOTAL SCHOOL FUND APPROPRIATION	176,028.72

A copy teste: _____, Clerk
Michael W. Johnson

Southampton County Board of Supervisors
06/26/2017

APPROPRIATION - June 26, 2017

NO NEW FUNDS

SCHOOL BOARD See attached letter/spreadsheet for:
(1) Expenditure refunds received
(2) Reimbursements from retirees for health
(3) Donations received
(4) E-Rates
(5) Tuition
(6) Insurance

APPROPRIATION - June 26, 2017

At a meeting of the Board of Supervisors of Southampton County,
Virginia on Monday, June 26, 2017

RESOLUTION

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Southampton County,
Virginia that the following appropriations be and hereby are made
from the Fund to the Fund for the period of July 1, 2016 through
June 30, 2017 for the function and purpose indicated:

From the General Fund to the School
Operating Fund to be expended only
on order of the Southampton County
School Board:

4-205-81100-3000-002-1-100	OTHER INSTRUCTIONAL COSTS - REG	8,190.00
81100-3000-002-1-100	OTHER INSTRUCTIONAL COSTS - REG	5,541.00
81100-3000-002-2-100	OTHER INSTRUCTIONAL COSTS - SP	2,590.68
81100-3000-002-2-100	OTHER INSTRUCTIONAL COSTS - SP	65,584.93
81100-3000-003-1-100	OTHER INSTRUCTIONAL COSTS - REG	5,460.00
81100-3000-003-1-100	OTHER INSTRUCTIONAL COSTS - REG	3,694.00
81100-6000-002-1-100	MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES - REG	7,755.00
81100-6000-003-1-100	MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES - REG	5,170.00
82120-2350	RETIREE HEALTH INS PREMIUMS	1,519.00
82120-2350	RETIREE HEALTH INS PREMIUMS	6,159.00
83200-2300	HOSPITALIZATION	159.00
83200-2300	HOSPITALIZATION	200.00
83200-6009	VEHICLE & POWERED EQUIP - SUPPLIES	131.00
83200-6009	VEHICLE & POWERED EQUIP - SUPPLIES	1,918.00
86200-8100	CAPITAL OUTLAY -RPL	3,264.00
88100-5001-09- -100	TELECOMMUNICATIONS	6,693.11
	TOTAL SCHOOL OPERATING	<u>124,028.72</u>
COMMUNITY ENERGY SOLAR GRANT, PRG 318		
4-205-81100-6000-003-1-318	ELECTRICIAN PROGRAM - SHS	50,000.00
	TOTAL PROGRAM 318	<u>50,000.00</u>
HUNTERDALE FAMILY PRESERVATION, PRG 350		
4-205-81100-1120-002-1-350	TUTORIAL SALARIES - NOTTOWAY	1,940.00
4-205-81100-2100-002-1-350	FICA BENEFITS	60.00
	TOTAL PROGRAM 350	<u>2,000.00</u>
	TOTAL SCHOOL FUND APPROPRIATION	<u>176,028.72</u>

June 26, 2017

SOUTHAMPTON COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD
P O BOX 96
COURTLAND, VA 23837

TO: MR. MICHAEL JOHNSON, COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR
SOUTHAMPTON COUNTY

FROM: JOY CARR
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

DATE: JUNE 19, 2017

SUBJECT: REVENUE APPROPRIATIONS

REQUESTING THE FOLLOWING APPROPRIATIONS OF REVENUE

REVENUE CODE	INTERFACE	DEPOSIT DATE	EXPENDITURE CODE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
3-205-018990-0100	EXPR	5/12/2017	4-205-61100-3000-002-2-100	OTHER INSTRUCTIONAL COSTS-SP	2,590.68
3-205-018990-0050	SBCB	5/17/2017	4-205-62120-2350	RETIREE HEALTH INS PREMIUMS	1,519.00
3-205-018990-0200	ERAT	5/17/2017	4-205-66100-5001-09- 100	TELECOMMUNICATIONS	6,693.11
3-205-018990-0100	EXPR	5/17/2017	4-205-66200-8100	CAPITAL OUTLAY - RPL	3,264.00
3-205-018990-0100	EXPR	5/17/2017	4-205-63200-6009	VEHICLE & POWERED EQUIP SUPPLIES	131.00
3-205-015020-0010	TUIT	5/17/2017	4-205-61100-6000-002-1-100	MATERIALS & SUPPLIES - REG	7,755.00
3-205-015020-0010	TUIT	5/17/2017	4-205-61100-6000-003-1-100	MATERIALS & SUPPLIES - REG	5,170.00
3-205-018990-0101	DONA	5/17/2017	4-205-61100-1120-002-1-350	TUTORIAL SALARIES - NOTTOWAY	1,940.00
3-205-018990-0101	DONA	5/17/2017	4-205-61100-2100-002-1-350	FICA BENEFITS	60.00
3-205-018990-0101	DONA	5/17/2017	4-205-61100-6000-003-1-318	ELECTRICIAN PROGRAM - SHS	50,000.00
SUB TOTAL					76,532.11
3-205-018990-0050	SBCB	6/2/2017	4-205-63200-2300	HOSPITALIZATION	159.00
3-205-018990-0032	INSC	6/2/2017	4-205-63200-6009	VEHICLE & POWERED EQUIP SUPPLIES	1,918.00
3-205-015020-0010	TUIT	6/2/2017	4-205-61100-3000-002-1-100	OTHER INSTRUCTIONAL COSTS - REG	8,190.00
3-205-015020-0010	TUIT	6/2/2017	4-205-61100-3000-003-1-100	OTHER INSTRUCTIONAL COSTS - REG	5,460.00
SUB TOTAL					15,727.00
3-205-018990-0050	SBCB	6/9/2017	4-205-62120-2350	RETIREE HEALTH INS PREMIUMS	6,159.00
3-205-018990-0050	SBCB	6/9/2017	4-205-63200-2300	HOSPITALIZATION	200.00
3-205-015020-0010	TUIT	6/9/2017	4-205-61100-3000-002-1-100	OTHER INSTRUCTIONAL COSTS - REG	5,541.00
3-205-015020-0010	TUIT	6/9/2017	4-205-61100-3000-003-1-100	OTHER INSTRUCTIONAL COSTS - REG	3,694.00
SUB TOTAL					15,594.00
3-205-018990-0100	EXPR	6/9/2017	4-205-61100-3000-002-2-100	OTHER INSTRUCTIONAL COSTS-SP	65,584.93
GRAND TOTAL					176,023.72

SOUTHAMPTON COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD
P O BOX 96
COURTLAND, VA 23837

TO: DAVID BRITT, TREASURER
SOUTHAMPTON COUNTY

FROM: JAIME HARRELL
SENIOR ACCOUNTING TECHNICIAN

DATE: May 17, 2017

SUBJECT: DEPOSITS FOR 2016-2017

PLEASE POST THE ENCLOSED CHECKS TO THE FOLLOWING REVENUE ACCOUNTS:

CHECK NO.	FROM	AMOUNT	REV ACCT
4054	SYRETHA WRIGHT	795.00	SBCB (A)
3349	MARY LEE BUTLER	724.00	SBCB (A)
48527	BLACKBOARD	6,693.11	ERAT (A)
002907	CAPRON ELEMENTARY	3,264.00	EXPR (A)
107607612J	STATE FARM	131.00	EXPR (A)
409030	SOUTHAMPTON COUNTY SOCIAL SERVICES	2,000.00	DONA (A)
7595	COMMUNITY ELECTRIC SOLAR	50,000.00	DONA (A)
2011105031	KEITH WILLIAMS	500.00	TUIT (A)
1809	ROBIN MCCLELLAND	100.00	TUIT (A)
705	KIMBERLY VICK	900.00	TUIT (A)
114	KENNETH LAYRE	700.00	TUIT (A)
1127	JENNIFER LAYRE	100.00	TUIT (A)
6624	JUDITH PARSONS	800.00	TUIT (A)
1358	ERIN BRITTLE	900.00	TUIT (A)
076568	HEATHER LASSITER	1,300.00	TUIT (A)
2531	NORMA BLAND	500.00	TUIT (A)
3656	NORMA BLAND	500.00	TUIT (A)
6122	SHARON BAY	100.00	TUIT (A)
827	MICHAEL SPIVEY	100.00	TUIT (A)
4431	MONIQUE HELMS	1,300.00	TUIT (A)
2477	GLENN LEWIS ROBERSTON	1,700.00	TUIT (A)
CASH	ALESSANDRA LANDRY	800.00	TUIT (A)
CASH	LAVERN HUNT	75.00	TUIT (A)
CASH	LAVERN HUNT	100.00	TUIT (A)
CASH	STEPHANIE FINCH	550.00	TUIT (A)
CASH	JARVANNA ROBERTSON	800.00	TUIT (A)
CASH	KRISTI HOWELL	900.00	TUIT (A)
CASH	DOMINIQUE EVERETTE	100.00	TUIT (A)
MONEY ORDER	LAVERN TAYLOR	300.00	TUIT (A)
		76,532.11	

SOUTHAMPTON COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD
P O BOX 96
COURTLAND, VA 23837

TO: DAVID BRITT, TREASURER
SOUTHAMPTON COUNTY

FROM: JAIME HARRELL
SENIOR ACCOUNTING TECHNICIAN

DATE: JUNE 2, 2017

SUBJECT: DEPOSITS FOR 2016-2017

PLEASE POST THE ENCLOSED CHECKS TO THE FOLLOWING REVENUE ACCOUNTS:

CHECK NO.	FROM	AMOUNT	REV ACCT
4064	PORTSMOUTH SCHOOL BOARD	5,040.66	ABED (NA)
031914	OPPORTUNITY INC	15,696.15	OPPI (NA)
2927	VIRGINIA SCOTT	159.00	SBCB (A)
257462	VACORP	1,918.00	INSC (A)
270	EDWARD POND	100.00	TUIT (A)
2008	DENNIS BLAIR	900.00	TUIT (A)
6540	TERESA HAMMOND SAVEDGE	1,300.00	TUIT (A)
1316	ILDEFONSO MENDIOLA	300.00	TUIT (A)
2051	ERIC DIMICK	900.00	TUIT (A)
2095	DAVID GRIFFITH	2,200.00	TUIT (A)
2100	MARAGRET VANN	900.00	TUIT (A)
358299	JENNIFER PARKER	150.00	TUIT (A)
2845	JAMIE ROSSER	900.00	TUIT (A)
2388	JAMES ALLEN	1,500.00	TUIT (A)
6738502620	GENIENE JONES	100.00	TUIT (A)
0715	MELISSA HATFIELD	900.00	TUIT (A)
CASH	MELISSA HATFIELD	400.00	TUIT (A)
CASH	CAMINO STATON	100.00	TUIT (A)
CASH	MONICA BOWLES	100.00	TUIT (A)
CAH	TIMOTHY STAUFFER	500.00	TUIT (A)
CASH	SHONDA TURNER	600.00	TUIT (A)
CASH	STEPHANIE STUMPTER	900.00	TUIT (A)
CASH	APRIL SHEARS	900.00	TUIT (A)
		36,463.84	

SOUTHAMPTON COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD
P O BOX 96
COURTLAND, VA 23837

TO: DAVID BRITT, TREASURER
SOUTHAMPTON COUNTY

FROM: JAIME HARRELL
SENIOR ACCOUNTING TECHNICIAN

DATE: JUNE 9, 2017

SUBJECT: DEPOSITS FOR 2016-2017

PLEASE POST THE ENCLOSED CHECKS TO THE FOLLOWING REVENUE ACCOUNTS:

CHECK NO.	FROM	AMOUNT	REV ACCT
2212	TOINETTA PHILLIPS	1,471.00	SBCB (A)
0092863044	GEORGE COLLINS	795.00	SBCB (A)
0092287961	ROBET WOLFF	795.00	SBCB (A)
5571	WAYNE JARRATT	724.00	SBCB (A)
7831	MARY WARREN	784.00	SBCB (A)
1143	MARLENE SCHILLENGER	795.00	SBCB (A)
7130	LINDA DRAKE	795.00	SBCB (A)
529342	SOUTHAMPTON COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD	200.00	SBCB (A)
2272	J WYATT COX	900.00	TUIT (A)
5757	PAUL FRITZINGER	900.00	TUIT (A)
3379	SANG DINH VANN	1,000.00	TUIT (A)
1679	CORNELIUS SAWYER	900.00	TUIT (A)
1090	ERIC NAVITSKY	900.00	TUIT (A)
401514	JENNIFER T PARKER	150.00	TUIT (A)
CASH	DANIELLE WILLIAMS	100.00	TUIT (A)
CASH	SHONDA TURNER	300.00	TUIT (A)
CASH	FLORENCE BOYKINS	400.00	TUIT (A)
CASH	SAMANTHA COLE	900.00	TUIT (A)
CASH	JOESPH BARNER	400.00	TUIT (A)
CASH	RANDY HUNT	225.00	TUIT (A)
CASH	MELISSA POND	200.00	TUIT (A)
CASH	KEVIN JOHNSON	300.00	TUIT (A)
MONEY ORDER	GREGORY POPE	500.00	TUIT (A)
MONEY ORDER	GREGORY POPE	400.00	TUIT (A)
MONEY ORDER	TARNISHA KINDRED	200.00	TUIT (A)
MONEY ORDER	TARNISHA KINDRED	250.00	TUIT (A)
MONEY ORDER	TARNISHA KINDRED	310.00	TUIT (A)
		15,594.00	

June 26, 2017

Chairman Jones states alright I need a motion.

Supervisor West states I make a motion that we approve the appropriation resolution for the school fund that was presented to us tonight of \$176,028.72.

Supervisor Phillips seconds.

Chairman Jones called for a vote.

The Board approved the motion with a voice vote recorded as follows:

Dallas O. Jones	AYE
Ronald M. West	AYE
R. Randolph Cook	AYE
Alan W. Edwards	AYE
S. Bruce Phillips	AYE
Barry T. Porter	AYE

The motion carried.

Chairman Jones states go to B.

Michael Johnson states item B is your regular monthly appropriation resolution, this provides a total appropriation of \$990,980.54. Of this sum, \$843,280.54 is appropriated to the General Fund and \$147,700.00 to the Enterprise Fund. The appropriation consists of a combination of tax revenues, expenditure refunds, carryover funds, and grants. Revenues have been received from sources indicated. The resolution does not include any new money.

At a meeting of the Board of Supervisors of Southampton County,
Virginia on Monday, June 26, 2017

RESOLUTION

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Southampton County,
Virginia that the following appropriations be and hereby are made
from the Fund to the Fund for the period of July 1, 2016 through
June 30, 2017 for the function and purpose indicated:

From the General Fund to the
General Operating Fund to be
expended only on order of the
Board of Supervisors:

4-100-12110-6001	OFFICE SUPPLIES	15.00
12410-5240	DMV DIRECT COMMUNICATION	14,728.74
12410-5848	BANK CHARGES	10,935.06
12410-5848	BANK CHARGES	8,000.00
12430-6001	OFFICE SUPPLIES	1,500.00
12510-6001	OFFICE SUPPLIES	(1,500.00)
12510-6001	OFFICE SUPPLIES	83.65
13200-1800	SALARIES - POLL WORKERS	100.00
13200-5500	TRAVEL CONVENTION, EDUCATION	16.48
21100-3848	JURORS & WITNESSES - STATE	85.00
21100-3848	JURORS & WITNESSES - STATE	5,130.00
21100-3848	JURORS & WITNESSES - STATE	9.90
21600-1701	COURT APPOINTED ATTORNEY'S FEES	464.00
21600-3847	TECH TRUST FUND/IMAGING	5,838.37
21600-3863	GRANT - BOOK RESTORATIONS	13,813.00
21600-5210	POSTAL SERVICES	300.00
21600-8201	EQUIPMENT	(300.00)
21200-1700	COURT APPOINTED ATTORNEY'S FEES	2,073.93
22100-1800	BONUS/PRIOR YEAR COSTS FUND	3,705.37
22100-5830	REFUND COLLECTION FEE ACCOUNT	20,710.82
22100-5835	COST COLLECTION CARRY OVER FUNDS	3,370.87
22100-6001	OFFICE SUPPLIES	(5,743.49)
22100-8201	EQUIPMENT	5,743.49
22200-1100	SALARIES & WAGES REGULAR	(30,160.00)
22200-1300	PART-TIME WAGES	30,160.00
22200-6001	SUPPLIES & OTHER EXPENSES	(3,660.00)
22200-8201	EQUIPMENT	3,660.00
31200-3310	REPAIRS & MAINT	250.00
31200-5500	TRAVEL CONVENTION, EDUCATION	843.37
31200-5500	TRAVEL CONVENTION, EDUCATION	149.27
31200-6011	UNIFORMS & APPAREL	62.50
31200-6011	UNIFORMS & APPAREL	10.00
32200-5110	ELECTRICAL SERVICES	62.78
32200-5110	ELECTRICAL SERVICES	485.34
32200-5843	STATE FUNDS/FIRE PROGRAM FUNDS	51,215.00
33100-1200	OVERTIME SALARIES	205.19

33100-6005	JANITORIAL SUPPLIES	299.48
35100-1902	GRANT - DOG & CAT STERILIZATION	305.67
43000-1360	VDOT INMATE LABOR	1,296.00
43000-1370	MURPHY BROWN INMATE LABOR	9,900.48
81100-3310	REPAIR AND MAINTENANCE	125.00
81100-5648	PAYMENT TO HRPDC	550.00
81100-5657	ENVIVA-COUNTY ECONOMIC INCENTIVES	448,662.30
81100-5657	ENVIVA-COUNTY ECONOMIC INCENTIVES	11,295.34
81100-5658	AMAC - COUNTY ECONOMIC INCENTIVES	24,092.82
81100-5658	AMAC - COUNTY ECONOMIC INCENTIVES	778.97
81100-5659	HAMPTON FARMS - COUNTY ECONOMIC INCEN	182,846.94
81100-5659	HAMPTON FARMS - COUNTY ECONOMIC INCEN	2,661.07
82500-1100	SALARIES & WAGES REGULAR	5,760.67
82500-2100	FICA	435.19
82500-2210	RETIREMENT	373.24
82500-2215	RETIREMENT-EMPLOYEE	0.00
82500-2220	VRS HYBRID DB ER MANDATORY	289.07
82500-2221	ICMA HYBRID DC ER MANDATORY	26.67
82500-2240	VACORP/DISABILITY	15.73
82500-2300	HOSPITAL PLAN	1,254.00
82500-2400	GROUP INSURANCE	75.48
82500-2600	UNEMPLOYMENT TAX	0.00
91400-5680	REVENUE SHARING - FRANKLIN	9,872.80

TOTAL GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATION 843,280.54

From the Enterprise Fund
to the Operating Enterprise Fund
to be expended only on order of the
Southampton County Board of Supervisors

4-500-89400-8225	DREWRYVILLE WATER SYST. IMPROVEMENTS	147,700.00
	TOTAL ENTERPRISE FUNDS	<u>147,700.00</u>

TOTAL APPROPRIATION 990,980.54
=====

REVENUE APPROPRIATION June 26, 2017
(REVENUE RECEIVED FOR ABOVE EXPENDITURES)

GENERAL FUND

3-100-11040-0001	Mach & Tools Current Taxes	24,871.79
3-100-11040-0001	Mach & Tools Current Taxes	459,957.64
3-100-11040-0001	Mach & Tools Current Taxes	185,508.01
3-100-11040-0001	Mach & Tools Current Taxes	9,872.80

3-100-11060-0001	PENALTIES	8,000.00
3-100-11060-0006	DMV/STP	14,728.74
3-100-11060-0007	CARD FEE COLLECTED	10,935.06
3-100-18040-0003	REIMBURSEMENT VFD-VRS	62.78
3-100-18040-0003	REIMBURSEMENT VFD-VRS	485.34
3-100-18010-0018	COLLECTION FEE ACCOUNT	20,710.82
3-100-18120-0001	REIMB-SOIL & WATER SALARIES	8,230.03
3-100-18030-0003	EXPENDITURE REFUND	305.67
3-100-18030-0003	EXPENDITURE REFUND	205.19
3-100-18030-0003	EXPENDITURE REFUND	116.48
3-100-18030-0003	EXPENDITURE REFUND	550.00
3-100-18030-0003	EXPENDITURE REFUND	15.00
3-100-18030-0003	EXPENDITURE REFUND	125.00
3-100-18030-0003	EXPENDITURE REFUND	83.65
3-100-18030-0003	EXPENDITURE REFUND	62.50
3-100-18030-0003	EXPENDITURE REFUND	10.00
3-100-18030-0003	EXPENDITURE REFUND	299.48
3-100-18030-0003	EXPENDITURE REFUND	1,296.00
3-100-18030-0003	EXPENDITURE REFUND	9,900.48
3-100-18030-0004	INSURANCE CLAIMS & DIVIDENDS	250.00
3-100-23020-0007	EXTRADITION EXPENSES	843.37
3-100-23020-0007	EXTRADITION EXPENSES	149.27
3-100-23070-0006	CLERK TECHNOLOGY TRUST FUND	5,838.37
3-100-24040-0012	FIRE PROGRAMS FUND	51,215.00
3-100-24040-0014	JURORS & WITNESSES	85.00
3-100-24040-0014	JURORS & WITNESSES	5,130.00
3-100-24040-0014	JURORS & WITNESSES	9.90
3-100-24040-0018	COURT APPOINTED ATTNY FEE	2,537.93
3-100-24040-0023	CIRCUIT CRT CLERK GRANT	13,813.00
3-100-041050-0005	TRANSFER IN-GENERAL FUND RESERVE	3,705.37
3-100-041050-0005	TRANSFER IN-GENERAL FUND RESERVE	3,370.87

TOTAL APPROPRIATION - REVENUE GEN FUND 843,280.54

3-500-33010-0001	DREWRYVILLE WATER SYS GRNT-PASSTHRU	147,700.00
		<u>147,700.00</u>

TOTAL APPROPRIATION 990,980.54
=====

A copy teste: _____, Clerk
Michael W. Johnson

APPROPRIATION - June 26, 2017

12110 ADMINISTRATION	(1) Reimb for office supply item (\$15.00)
12410 TREASURER	(1) credit card fees and penalties used to cover Bank Charges (\$10,935.06 + \$8000.00) (2) DMV STOP fees used to cover DMV STOP charges (\$14,728.74)
12430 ACCOUNTING	funds from IT for office supplies (+1500.00)
12510 IT DEPARTMENT	(1) move funds to acctg office supplies (-\$1500.00) (2) reimb from towns for forms (\$83.65)
13200 REGISTRAR	Reimb for mileage and poll worker salary (\$116.48)
21600 CIRCUIT COURT	(1) State reimbursement received for jurors & witnesses (\$85.00+5130.00+9.90) (2) Book restoration grant (13,813.00) (3) Additional state funds for Technology Trust (\$5838.37) (4) Reimb for court appointed attorneys fees (\$464.00) (5) Funds moved from equip to postal services (-300.00+300.00=0)
21200 DISTRICT COURT	Reimb for court appointed attorneys fees (\$2073.93)
22100 COMMONWEALTH ATTNY	(1) Moved funds from office supplies to equipment for special purchase (net effect of -0-) (2) Bonus paid from Prior Yr cost funds (3705.37) CARRYOVER (3) Fees paid from cost collection prior yr funds (3370.87) CARRYOVER (4) To allocate collection fees (\$20,710.82)
22200 VICTIM WITNESS	(1) Funds reallocated per grant approval from supplies and other expenses to equipment (-3600+3600 = 0 net effect) (2) Funds split between full time and part time (-0- net effect) (-30,160.00 +30,160.00 = 0)
31200 SHERIFF LAW ENFORCEMENT	(1) Reimb for uniform - Drewery - (\$62.50) (2) Reimb from Kaufman & Canoles (\$10.00) (3) Insurance reimb-VACORP - (\$250.00) (4) Reimb for extradition expenses (\$843.37 + 149.27)
32200 VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPTS	(1) Reimb. rec'd from Sedley Vol Fire -2mos (\$240.50+244.84) (2) Drewryville Vol Fire for electrical services-1 mo (\$62.78) (3) Money from State Fire Programs (\$51,215.00)
33100 DETENTION	(1) Payroll correction (\$205.19) (2) Reimb from DGS (\$299.48)
35100 ANIMAL CONTROL	Grant funds remitted to PACC for spaying and neutering. (\$305.67)
43000 BLDGS & GROUNDS	(1) Reimb from VDOT for inmate workers April 2017 (\$1296.00) (2) Reimb from Smithfield Foods for inmate workers for April 2017 (\$9900.48)
81100 COMMUNITY DEV	(1) Hampton Farms - rebates (\$182,846.94 + 2,661.07) (2) AMAC - rebates (24,092.82 + 778.97) (3) Enviva - rebates (448,662.30 + 11,295.34) (4) Reimb for overpayment (\$550.00) to Hampton Roads Planning District. (5) Reimb for grass cutting (\$125.00)
82500 SOIL & WATER CONSERVATION	Reimbursement for payroll - month of May (\$8,230.03)
91400 NON DEPARTMENTAL	Appropriate additional funds payable to City of Franklin for Hampton Farms shared revenue (\$9872.80)
ENTERPRISE FUND 500	Grant funds for Drewryville Well Project (\$147,700.00)

NO NEW MONEY REQUIRED FOR THE JUNE 26, 2017 APPROPRIATION

CARRYOVER FUNDS NEEDED

3,705.37	Commonwealth's Attorney
3,370.87	Cost collection carryover funds -for bonus payment
<u>7,076.24</u>	Cost collection carryover funds- for office expenses
	TOTAL

Chairman Jones states alright sirs. I need a motion on this appropriation resolution.

Supervisor West states I motion.

Supervisor Phillips seconds.

Chairman Jones called for a vote.

The Board approved the motion with a voice vote recorded as follows:

Dallas O. Jones	AYE
Ronald M. West	AYE
R. Randolph Cook	AYE
Alan W. Edwards	AYE
S. Bruce Phillips	AYE
Barry T. Porter	AYE

The motion carried.

Chairman Jones states item C is the bills.

Supervisor West states as usual we pay on time and I make a motion to authorize payment of the bills.

Supervisor Edwards states second.

Chairman Jones called for a vote.

The Board approved the motion to pay bills in the amount of \$2,617,158.61, to be paid by check numbers 152508 through 152905, with a voice vote recorded as follows:

Dallas O. Jones	AYE
Ronald M. West	AYE
R. Randolph Cook	AYE
Alan W. Edwards	AYE
S. Bruce Phillips	AYE
Barry T. Porter	AYE

The motion carried.

Chairman Jones states go to item number 10.

Michael Johnson states Mr. Chairman, number 10 is our public hearing. It relates to ordinance amendments regarding agricultural and forestal districts. This public hearing is held pursuant to Section 15.2-1427 and 15.2-2204 of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended, to consider an ordinance to amend the Southampton County Code by repealing Sections 18-107 through 18-112 (adopted on January 23, 2017), and further, by adding Chapter 2.6, titled Agricultural and Forestal Districts. It is the purpose and intent of this ordinance to provide means by which agricultural and forestal lands may be protected and enhanced and a process where the board of supervisors may create, modify, renew, continue and terminate agricultural and forestal districts of statewide significance, and authorize the withdrawal therefrom, as provided in Chapter 43 of Title 15.2 of the Code of Virginia. At its May 11, 2017 meeting, the Planning Commission recommended approval of the ordinance. The notice of public hearing was published in the Tidewater News on June 11 and June 18, 2017 as required by law. After conclusion of tonight's public hearing, the Board of Supervisors will consider the comments offered this evening and will proceed to approve, deny or defer action on the proposed ordinance. Mrs. Lewis is here from the planning commission. I didn't know if you had a real report. Beth? Okay.

Chairman Jones states okay, is there anyone for or against this application.

Ash Cutchins states yes sir. I think you should approve it. I have a farm in Isle of Wight County, but it is too far from the Ag parcel. It is already created and I do not have enough land to create one for myself. I think it an excellent idea. We have seen opportunities, like in Virginia Beach, for example, which was Princess Anne County when I was younger. Start what they call the green line and supposed to be no more residential development south of that line. Several reasons, one being Oceana Naval Station and from time to time, city council, in their infinite wisdom, kept extending it and extending it, until they have taken thousands of acres in agricultural land and converted it to residential properties. And those people move in and in about a year, complain about the jet noise. And I think agricultural districts is a good solution to what could be a real problem in the future. I recommend you approve it. Thank you.

Chairman Jones states anyone else? Public hearing is closed.

Supervisor West states I have had some calls concerning the application process for the 30th of June, if I am not mistaken. Is that correct?

Michael Johnson states that is correct.

Supervisor West states that being said, I think there has been a lack of getting interest going and understanding what this is about. I am not sure you would understand what agri and forestal districts are by what was just said. It is a process to protect land users and the environment and I would want to give time to all people who are interested, and I am at this point that maybe we need to extend this time because we have, I think, 5 applicants at this point. That would be districts or zones.

Michael Johnson states we have a number of applications filed. We are still going through the process of mapping the parcels. Once we get those parcels mapped, we will be able to better determine how many districts we are really talking about. We have probably got somewhere between, I'm going to estimate, somewhere between 75 and 125 parcels for which applications have already been filed. The thing to remember is that state law obligates you all to act on these applications within six months of the filing. So you can establish the deadline whenever you like, but you have to act on them within six months. There are a number of reviews, the Planning Commission will serve as your advisory committee under the proposed ordinance. As an advisory committee, they will take a look at it first, then they are obligated to have a public hearing, and then you are obligated to have a public hearing. So there are several steps along the way. Now the reason that we set the schedule up so that June 30th was the deadline was to allow you all the time to consider these and act on them before the end of the calendar year, so that it doesn't roll over and begin to conflict with your budget process, because once you pass January, you are getting busy with your budget process. We didn't think that you would want dozens of public hearings on agri and forestal districts at the same time.

Supervisor Edwards states well stated. I want to add to that, the amendments that we made were mainly house cleaning things to set up the schedule. And we have six months after the applications, since we are bound with state law with what we are doing. And if you are not in this program now, it is not going to go away in a hurry. You have next year and the year after that, you have a long time to apply, so our people should not panic. I think we are obligated by state law to go on with what we have. I don't think legally we can make an extension, can we Richard?

Richard Railey states it would be messy. Six months is the rule.

Supervisor Porter states I would like to reiterate one thing, June 30th is not an absolute deadline. It is an annual deadline. You can apply every year, and this gives the board time to review these applications in between one budget and getting ready for the next budget. I agree with Dr. Edwards, we need to move forward with this now. I am sorry if someone missed this deadline, but there is always next year.

Supervisor Edwards states to add, at our next meeting of the Planning Commission we are going to consider two districts and we are going to experiment a little to see how long it takes. And then we are going to decide if we are going to have to add some extra meetings. We are in an experimental process here. We have not gone through this before, but we are going to get it done one way or the other.

June 26, 2017

Chairman Jones states go ahead Mrs. Lewis.

Beth Lewis states we have applications for about 150 individual parcels. And it is over 13,000 acres that is under consideration. Mr. Johnson is doing the mapping; it is an overwhelming process. Until the ordinance is adopted, I might have a stack of applications, but there have been no fees submitted because the ordinance sets the fees. So the six month clock doesn't start until a complete application is submitted and a complete application can't be submitted until the ordinance is adopted. Even though I have had some of the applications for a month, that six month clock hasn't started yet. From the Planning Commission at their meeting on the 13th, as the advisory board, we did get two applications. One is three continuous parcels, and one is three tracks that are all in within a mile of each other and they are kind of separated by all the other applications that are there. They will probably be the most clear and easy to understand of the applications submitted so far.

Supervisor Porter states if we don't do this tonight, then we cannot start that process.

Beth Lewis states that is correct.

Supervisor Porter states because they don't have any ordinance to operate under.

Beth Lewis states that is correct. And no complete application as yet. That is correct.

Supervisor West states that part needs to be stated and was something that was foggy to me and I appreciate that information and I am in favor of ag and forestal districts, as well as land use, obviously. That being said, if the process has to start tonight, then we need to do this. The public needs to know that June 30th is the stopping point for this year, then renews July 1 for next year. And it will continue, so I will favor, also, continuing to go on and put this ordinance in place tonight.

Beth Lewis states thank you.

Supervisor Porter states one thing I would like to mention the ordinance does specify criteria for review of these applications and everyone needs to understand that this process needs to be followed and this criteria considered in each application to avoid challenges from people that may be against a specific application when it is presented to the board, to justify the use of the ordinance that we adopt.

Supervisor Edwards states there will actually be three reviews. Planning Commission, and then the Board of the Planning Commission, and finally it comes to this board.

Supervisor West states one of the statements is that the evaluation criteria, as you have just stated, hasn't got a rubber stamp of approval.

Supervisor Porter states there is specific criteria in the ordinance.

Supervisor Edwards states that is correct.

Supervisor West states as long as we are adhering to that, we have the best interest with the Planning Commission already looking at this first, before it comes to us. That is good.

Supervisor Porter states I motion to approve the ordinance.

Supervisor West seconds.

Chairman Jones called for a vote.

The Board approved the motion with a voice vote recorded as follows:

Dallas O. Jones	AYE
Ronald M. West	AYE
R. Randolph Cook	AYE
Alan W. Edwards	AYE
S. Bruce Phillips	AYE
Barry T. Porter	AYE

The motion carried.

Chairman Jones states lets go to item 11.

Michael Johnson states Mr. Chairman, following the close of last month's public hearing, the Board deferred action on a request by Jonathan Bowen, owner, for a zoning map amendment from R-1, Residential to CA-2, Conditional Agricultural, for Tax Parcels 47A2-1-138A, 138B and 138E. As you recall, the property is located at 18170 Fourth Street in Sedley, it includes the southwest quadrant of the intersection of Fourth Street West (Rte. 1003) and Maple Avenue (Rte. 1001) and totals approximately 4.2 acres. The owner/applicant is requesting to amend the zoning of all three parcels to A-2 to accommodate the housing of livestock. He has voluntarily proffered, as a condition of the rezoning, to limit the number of animals to four horses, two cows, and eight chickens, despite a recent change to the zoning ordinance requires which one acre of property for the house and one additional acre of fenced pasture for each horse. So, if the zoning map amendment is approved, Section 18-429 of the Southampton County Code would limit the number of horses to no more than three, despite the voluntary proffer. The A-2 district does not place any further restrictions on the number of livestock until the numbers reach the thousands, and the acre of fenced pasture per horse may be used for additional livestock as well. The owner wishes to construct a barn/workshop on Tax Parcel 47A2-1-138B, and any housing for livestock will be required to remain 100' from all side and rear property lines. Following its public hearing on April 13, 2017, the Southampton County Planning Commission recommended denial of the application. Again the Board deferred action last month in the absence of the applicant. And wanted to bring it back up tonight under old business.

Chairman Jones states alright. Is Mr. Bowen here? Can you come up front please?

Supervisor Edwards states may I ask something of our attorney? If we are going to let the owner speak, then do we have to let everyone else speak?

Richard Railey states that you don't have to, it is at the discretion of the Chairman.

Supervisor Edwards states I just wanted to get that clear.

Jonathan Bowen states are you ready? I am Jonathan Bowen, I live at 18170 Fourth St, Sedley. I apologize for missing last meeting, I got my dates mixed up and the next morning Mrs. Lewis called me to inform me that I missed the meeting. I was very surprised when she informed me that it is always on a Monday. I am here to speak. I understand there were some issues brought up at the last meeting, regarding the property and my request to change it to agricultural with some livestock on that property. A couple of the issues was the drainage issue. Based on the report that you all got, it does not have any ponding, pooling, or standing water. Just like any other property in Sedley, when it rains, it is wet, and then it dries out. I think part of the reason why the ground stays wet a little bit longer is because of the trees and foliage on the property. The intent, if this is approved, is to clear that vegetation so that it can be converted to a pasture. I would also be looking into bringing in some soil and leveling it out across the property. And ensure that drainage is not an issue. The second thing that is a big issue is the possibility of people getting injured by a hot wire fence. I will be willing to condition to not use that type of fencing. To use some other type of fencing to keep the animals contained. Currently my animals are, or were, unfortunately I have sold all my horses at this point based on the planning meeting. To give you all a little history, when we bought this property, we purchased it. We are about an hour and twenty minutes from Langley. The reason we bought this property was the size of the home, the size of the property, and the fact that the realtor advertised it as horse property. It met all of our

June 26, 2017

needs and we were very excited to move in and intended to make this our final home. After the way we were treated by our neighbors, finally we decided that, that will no longer be the case. We began to make our plans to get rid of our horses and although we still love the area, Sedley is a beautiful area. And we would like to keep our home. I do have orders to move to Las Vegas, but that is only temporary. I can retire from the military in 2020. The intent, originally, was if I got an assignment, to return to this home and make it our forever home, or at least a more permanent location. So, there is still that consideration. We would like to press forward with the rezoning. We like Sedley, we like the home, and we like the property. So we would like to use it the way we purchased it and the way it was advertised. Currently it is around 4.2 acres, although with last month's meeting that closed all of the streets around my home, which brings it closer to the 5 acres. I have not had it surveyed yet, but I would be willing to have a condition that it be no more than 3, even if it is over the 5 acres, which would allow the 4 horses. And I would also condition it down to just one cow. The intent for that is to raise the cow for just a year only, and then slaughter it for food for the home. And keep the same condition for the chickens.

Chairman Jones states alright. Any questions Mr. West, Mr. Edwards, Mr. Phillips, Mr. Porter?

Supervisor Porter states my concern is, why did you choose to go with the rezoning instead of the conditional use permit, which would be an easier process?

Jonathan Bowen states it is still the same process either way. It is the same hearings and everything, but, yes it dies when I move. I understand that. The reason being is, that is it a large piece of property and there are other pieces of land in Sedley that are allowed to keep horses there.

Supervisor Porter states that is not my question.

Jonathan Bowen states sure.

Supervisor Porter states I have a problem with your rezoning request. The reason being because, after zoning you will have a 4.5 or 4.6 or 4.8 acre property, still in the middle of a residential area, everything all around it. That is just not consistent with the area. It seems to me that your primary drive was to have a couple of horses and maybe some chickens. I think that based on a horse ordinance that we adopted, that was drafted by the Planning Commission that we adopted. I think that we look at what you have, you meet the requirements for that zoning ordinance for a conditional use permit. If we were to rezone, there are no guidelines, there are no conditions per say. And also, it is a much broader brush request. You say you are willing to restrict what you put on the property, but there are other implications of the rezoning, or uses of an agricultural property, which I don't think are necessarily consistent with your neighbors. I would be a lot more favorable of your request, if it were a conditional use permit of horses and chickens. I have a bit of a problem with cows. One thing is, by specifying what you can have, instead of specifying what you won't do, it is a restrictive concept.

Jonathan Bowen states okay.

Chairman Jones states alright Mr. Cook?

Supervisor Cook states I agree with Mr. Porter. The area that surrounds is all residential. This seems like it would have a huge chunk of agricultural in the middle.

Jonathan Bowen states sure. I understand that in the past, there was a push to have people to rezone their property, as a onetime deal. No fees required and that was because of the issues with the livestock in both Sedley and a couple of the townships around in Southampton County. And my understanding is that they got through several of those other townships, but quit before actually going through Sedley. And acting on that. I don't have the details on it, but it was second hand from Mitch White. He said that was exactly what was happening and for some reason it stopped before it got to Sedley.

Supervisor Porter states but you still can't have all this patchwork zoning that you are talking about. If someone wants to rezone their property and neighbors do not want to rezone theirs, you can't really have that because then it defeats the purpose of the rezone altogether.

Jonathan Bowen states okay.

Supervisor Edwards states once that is rezoned you can do anything that you want to, that is allowed in that zone. Whereas, the conditional use permit limits you to things that would be favorable, also, to your neighbors. I think none of your neighbors that are the surrounding neighbors and property owners spoke in favor of this, that I remember correctly. And some of the other mitigating factors was that it was close to the ball field and some of the other factors were there were several people that felt it was too wet to support horses. So, I agree with Mr. Porter, the rezoning situation; spot zoning this piece of land is a lot different from the conditional use permit.

Supervisor Phillips states Mr. Chairman I visited this property today, just to see. I walked out into the area that he is looking at clearing. It would be quite a project, but I do feel confident that the horses could be in an area that they could, I have two horses, and I feel confident that the property could support horses and be a pasture. It is a huge project. I would say again that I would also feel that the conditional use permit would be a better vehicle to achieve what he is trying to do, after having heard Mr. Porter. Thank you.

Supervisor West states thank you for your service to this country. Thank you very much for doing what you do and protecting us and this country and each person in this room tonight. Thank you for the time that you spent. I do agree with the conditional use permit, I think that is the right tool for you to use. I can't say right now that I would say yes, that I would vote for it immediately. I think you can understand that puts us in a position that your request can be more favorably looked at.

Supervisor Porter did you understand that when you put the application in?

Jonathan Bowen states yes and no. Part of the reason why, was because I understood that no matter what took place on the property, those that own the property continue to do what they were doing and then only when it sells, at least in my understanding, does it revert to the R-1 zoning. And now, they would no longer be able to do that type of activity without the use of the conditional use permit.

Supervisor Porter states you can farm, grow crops in a residential area. That is not the issue. It is the other activities in there. I am sorry for your misunderstanding, but the history of how it got to be zoned is not really relevant. The issue is that it is residential currently. It would have to be changed through an application process. As of today, if somebody bought that and they wanted to develop it, they could build houses there as a residential area. I think we have to maintain the integrity of that residential area.

Chairman Jones states alright, thank you sir. Okay, what say you?

Supervisor Edwards states I make the recommendation that we follow the planning committee commission's original recommendation to deny the rezoning.

Supervisor Porter states I think the rezoning is inappropriate. I think that maybe the conditional use permit, he could apply for that, of course that would cost him another thousand dollars. Is that a fair approach? I think that people don't understand the process of the requirements that we administer here. I would second the motion to deny the rezoning, but I would be open to discussing possibly getting him some relief on the cost of reapplying for the conditional use permit.

Supervisor West states in the discussion we are having right now, I don't agree with that. Today in the paper, Chesapeake is having an issue with 3 acre farms that have horses, chickens, and so forth and so on. Then, I would point out, immediately across the road at Riverdale Elementary is a nice community that has been referred to as the best, nicest community in Southampton County. And it is what, an A-1 or A-2? A-2.

Michael Johnson states I think so.

Supervisor West states horses and 2 or 3 acres of land. I saw the field in Sedley and it is exactly what he said, 6 acres right beside it. I agree with Mr. Porter and Dr. Edwards, the bigger part of the deal is the fact that \$1000 dollars stands in between. I hope to see that go ahead, but no promise.

Chairman Jones states okay, are there any other comments?

Chairman Jones called for a vote.

The Board approved the motion with a voice vote recorded as follows:

Dallas O. Jones	AYE
Ronald M. West	AYE
R. Randolph Cook	AYE
Alan W. Edwards	AYE
S. Bruce Phillips	AYE
Barry T. Porter	AYE

The motion carried.

Supervisor Porter states I would like to continue the discussion about giving him some relief, if he were to apply for the conditional use permit, for the \$1000 dollar application fee.

Chairman Jones states alright, what and how much are you talking about?

Supervisor West states can we do that?

Michael Johnson states the mechanics of that, you cannot just forgive the application fee. Technically he would have to pay the application fee and then if this board wanted to rebate a portion back, you would need to make a motion and approve that.

Supervisor Edwards states we can't pick and choose, besides, everybody needs applications and we need money on every one of them. We don't make any money on them. Right Mr. Johnson?

Michael Johnson states that is correct. It doesn't cover staff time or even the newspaper advertisement.

Supervisor Edwards states exactly. I am not really in favor of subsidizing anybody.

Supervisor Porter states I feel like we didn't really do our due diligence.

Supervisor Edwards states I am not criticizing him, but I feel we did our due diligence. From my knowledge, the planning staff here, I am pretty sure that option was discussed. I have never seen anybody go into the situation where the options were not discussed in some way or form. I am taking up for our planners because I have been with them for over 30 years. I just can't imagine that that option was not expressed.

Supervisor Porter states I do not deny what you are saying. I think our planners are excellent and well qualified. I can see a situation where this discussion didn't come up. To someone new and people telling him indirectly how the zoning process works. I am just trying to be fair.

Jonathan Bowen states I appreciate what you are doing, but that is alright. I understand the process. And I was told that if it didn't go through, that I would lose the \$1000 dollars. And I am okay with that. I completely understand the process and the risks involved. There is no need to discuss this further. It is what it is and I understand. Thank you.

Supervisor West states again, thank you for your service.

Chairman Jones states okay, we will move on to item 12.

Michael Johnson states number 12, Mr. Chairman, is a supplemental funding request from the Hampton Roads Planning District Commission. At its April 20, 2017 meeting, the HRPDC voted to seek consideration of its member localities in supporting the Roanoke River Basin Association (RRBA) with annual funding in the amount of \$50,000 to allow the RRBA to hire a full-time Executive Director. The RRBA is a nonprofit organization whose mission is to establish and carry out a strategy for the protection of resources in the Roanoke River Basin that is tributary to

Lake Gaston, a significant supply of water to Southside Hampton Roads localities. The protection of water quality within Lake Gaston is critical to maintaining a water supply for the south side of Hampton Roads to meet the needs of residents and support economic development in the community. The RRBA provides an advocacy group within the Roanoke Basin to represent Hampton Roads interests in the protection of water supply. The previous RRBA Executive Director recently passed away, and the RRBA is in need of a new Executive Director or full-time administrator. The RRBA is funded by dues and voluntary contributions from individuals and local governments, and average approximately \$30,000 per year, which is not sufficient funding to support a fulltime Executive Director. The HRPDC recommends that its localities commit to an annual contribution of \$50,000 to the RRBA for a three year period, to be used as "seed money," allowing the RRBA to hire a full time Executive Director and develop a long term fundraising strategy. The contribution would be divided among 16 HRPDC member jurisdictions on a per capita basis. Based upon this funding formula, Southampton County's share would be \$549.00. If approved, a Memorandum of Agreement between the HRPDC and RRBA will be developed confirming the 3-year funding commitment, establishing reporting requirements, defining the HRPDC's involvement in the development of RRBA's work program, annual evaluation of the Executive Director's performance, and other items. I would be happy to answer any questions. Perhaps, Mr. Porter would like to speak to it if you have any questions.

Supervisor Porter states as in the notes, I did make some comments at the meeting. We couldn't approve this, without first taking it to each individual locality. That was included in the motion, that we can deny it, or we can vote for it and support it. I disagree with Mr. Updike's comments about we get no value from the Planning Commission District Commission. We wouldn't have a comprehensive plan if it were not for the planning commission. We wouldn't have support for clean drinking water if it wasn't for the Planning Commission District Commission. We do not get our water from Lake Gaston, so this would not directly affect us. We get our water from the ground. On the other side of the coin, the Planning Commission District Commission has a program which supports the ground drinking water, of which we are major beneficiaries. We also pay a very minimal amount of that. Somewhere around \$500 dollars, well guess what, Virginia Beach only gets their water from Lake Gaston, they don't get any benefit from ground water, but they pay tens of thousands of dollars for the program. It is not like we can pick and choose. We get direct benefits from everything the Planning Commission District Commission does. We would be spending a lot more money on any studies that we do, if we did not belong to the Planning Commission District Commission.

Supervisor Edwards states let me ask you a question. Is there any provisions, if we wanted to get water from the pipeline, we could?

Michael Johnson states the Gaston Pipeline? You had the opportunity 30 years ago and passed on it. So, the answer is no, now. But they did give that option 30 years ago.

Supervisor Edwards states so Virginia Beach does get the benefits from this.

Supervisor Porter states they do, but they pay tens of thousands of dollars for the ground water program, which they get no benefit from. If they stop paying their tens of thousands for the ground water program, our cost will go up 100 times. Instead of paying 500 dollars then we will have to start paying \$25,000 or more. So they subsidize us substantially. We get a huge benefit because we are in a huge area and a small population. They get a huge cost because they have a huge population. It's only fair. And they are not the only ones that get the water, other regions do to. So this is a cost bearing issue.

Supervisor Edwards states I agree to disagree. I really don't see the fairness in it, to tell you the truth. I don't think it is fair at all. We gave up the option, 30 years ago, long before this board was here. We get nothing from it; that is the Roanoke River Basin. Okay? We are in a different basin. I think we are subsidizing that.

Supervisor Porter states you are talking two different things, there are two different programs. They subsidize a heck of a lot more money for us, than we do for them. They get no benefit what so ever for what they put in the pot for a program that we get benefit from.

Chairman Jones states alright, Mr. Phillips.

Supervisor Phillips states I can't help but to think about what Ash said, I am just wondering where we are in that overall process of hiring the supervisor to supervise the night watchman. I also see the point that Barry is trying to make. What they are doing is drawing the water, instead of Southampton County, they are drawing it out of the Roanoke River. I think that we do share, but I do wonder if \$50,000 dollars is going to satisfy the need for this position.

Michael Johnson states this is a 3 year commitment.

Supervisor Phillips states Mr. Porter represents us and I am confident that he is representing our best interest. Therefore, I would support.

Supervisor West states ditto.

Chairman Jones states alright, Mr. Cook?

Supervisor Cook states I was at the meeting that was talked about, he is right on the money. It is a three year commitment of \$549.00 a year. And then, they would have to come back to us.

Chairman Jones states alright.

Supervisor West states I motion to accept.

Supervisor Cook states second.

Chairman Jones called for a vote.

The Board approved the motion with a voice vote recorded as follows:

Dallas O. Jones	AYE
Ronald M. West	AYE
R. Randolph Cook	AYE
S. Bruce Phillips	AYE
Barry T. Porter	AYE
Alan W. Edwards	NAY

The motion carried.

Chairman Jones states lets go to item 13.

Michael Johnson states number 13, Mr. Chairman, is a consideration for a resolution which would authorize the issuance and sale of a revenue anticipation note. Much like the past five years, Mr. Britt and Mrs. Lowe have determined that cash flow will likely be insufficient to cover anticipated expenditures for the months of August, September, October, and perhaps November. Section 15.2-2629 of the Public Finance Act provides that localities may borrow money and issue a note in anticipation of the collection of taxes for the current year provided that the amount of the note may not exceed anticipated revenues. We've taken the same approach that we took the last four years in an attempt to minimize the costs of issuance, opting to utilize the Commercial Paper Program administered by VML/VACo Finance (Virginia Local Government Finance Corporation). Municipal bonds and notes of the VML/VACo Finance program are issued by the Industrial Development Authority of the County of Stafford and the City of Staunton, Virginia (IDA). This joint IDA was established solely for the purpose of serving as the Issuer for VML/VACo Finance. VML/VACo Finance issued Variable Rate Demand Revenue Bonds in 2008 to fund their Commercial Paper Program and has agreed to make a portion of the proceeds derived therefrom available to the County to meet its Revenue Anticipation needs. The Commercial Paper is remarketed on a monthly basis with the July remarketing scheduled to occur on July 27 (our closing date). Interest rates remain relatively low – if we were closing today, our rate would be around 2.13%. Over the past 25 years, Commercial Paper rates have been 2.0-3.0% lower on average than long-term bond rates. The loan operates like a line of credit for up to \$2.5 million, but will be drawn down only when Mrs. Lowe and Mr. Britt deem necessary. While the note technically matures on 6/30/18, I would expect to have it fully paid off by the end of November when tax revenues begin to roll in. As you recall from the past five years, the Board is required to adopt a resolution authorizing the issuance. Draft copies of the Resolution, Note, and Financing

Agreement are associated with this.

Chairman Jones states gentleman, we have been doing this for quite a while.

Supervisor Edwards states how much interest did we pay last year?

Michael Johnson states it depends on when you draw it down and how you draw it down, but typically it will run right around \$20,000 to 25,000 a year. In previous years we had been looking to draw down up to 3.5 million dollars, but we don't think we are going to need that much this year.

Supervisor West states financially we are all situated?

Michael Johnson states correct.

Supervisor West states we are in a better overall financial situation? The reserve situation continues to increase, that makes it more favorable for us. And yes.

Supervisor Edwards states I motion.

Supervisor West seconds.

Chairman Jones called for a vote.

The Board approved the motion with a voice vote recorded as follows:

Dallas O. Jones	AYE
Ronald M. West	AYE
R. Randolph Cook	AYE
S. Bruce Phillips	AYE
Barry T. Porter	AYE
Alan W. Edwards	AYE

The motion carried.

Chairman Jones states okay, go to item number 14.

Michael Johnson states number 14, Mr. Chairman, is the consideration of a resolution approving the financing of school buses. As you may recall, your adopted FY 2018 annual budget included an additional \$69,500 to finance the purchase of five (5) new school buses, which will be ordered by the School Board from the state contract. They've expressed an intention to order three 65-passenger buses, one 77-passenger bus and one bus for children with special needs (equipped with a wheel chair lift and air conditioning) for a total sum for all 5 buses is \$409,020. The winning bid to finance the buses was submitted by Blue Ridge Bank with a 2.06% fixed rate for 7 years. Included in your agenda package, you can see a comparison of rates. We have done these financings now, this makes our fifth year. You can see the rates have ranged from a low of 1.703% last year to 2.08% back in 2015. VACo/VML Finance will also receive a \$3,500 fee for their services in procuring the financing. The first lease payment of approximately \$34,700 is due on January 15, 2018, after which we'll continue making comparable semiannual payments until the final payment is made in July 2024. You have got a copy in your agenda packages of the resolution consenting to and approving the financing of the school buses according to the terms offered by Blue Ridge Bank. While subject to annual appropriation, in approving the resolution, you're expressing your intention to make sufficient annual appropriations to the School Board to make the payments due under the equipment lease.

Supervisor West states knowing this is the fifth year around and this will make a total of 25 new buses, we are in a cycle now to renew the fleet. That is a good thing. I make motion.

Supervisor Edwards seconds.

Chairman Jones called for a vote.

The Board approved the motion with a voice vote recorded as follows:

Dallas O. Jones	AYE
Ronald M. West	AYE
R. Randolph Cook	AYE
S. Bruce Phillips	AYE
Barry T. Porter	AYE
Alan W. Edwards	AYE

The motion carried.

Chairman Jones states go to item number 15.

Michael Johnson states number 15 Mr. Chairman as you may recall, *The Line of Duty Act (LODA)* was created in 1966 by the General Assembly to provide certain benefits to all public safety employees injured or killed in the line of duty. Generally speaking, the Act covers law enforcement and correctional officers, firefighters, EMT's, and paramedics, including volunteer firefighters and rescue personnel. Up until 2011, the cost of providing these benefits was borne entirely by the Commonwealth. However, in its 2010 session, the General Assembly approved a budget amendment which shifted the cost from the Commonwealth onto local governments for their eligible employees and volunteers. In June 2011, the Board resolved to join fellow counties across the state in self-funding the LODA benefits through the VACo Group Self Insurance Pool (VACORP). While the LODA coverage pays for the costs of continuing health benefits and death benefits, it does not pay for the costs associated with medical treatment for injuries sustained by volunteers working on the County's behalf. In addition to its LODA obligations, the Code of Virginia (§27-46) provides that the County is responsible for the costs associated with medical treatment for injuries sustained by volunteers in performing their duties. Until now, we've managed this exposure by purchasing accident and sickness coverage for volunteers, most recently through VACORP. However, based upon upcoming legislative changes (effective July 1, 2017) to the LODA, VACORP is no longer providing the accident and sickness coverage to which we subscribed. Instead, they've partnered with the VFIS, the largest provider of insurance, education and consulting services to volunteer emergency responders, to offer Workers' Compensation and Excess Accident and Sickness insurance for volunteers, ensuring comprehensive benefits and care for volunteers in the event of an injury. What this all means is, the annual cost of the combined coverage in FY 2018 is \$83,396, substantially higher than the \$49,000 earmarked in our adopted budget. Despite the \$34,396 budgetary shortfall, Southampton County is blessed with more than 250 volunteers providing hundreds of thousands of hours of public service at no cost for their time. They do an amazing job responding to our calls for help and deserve the best coverage we can afford to provide.

Supervisor West states I see this as an example of the state cutting taxes, helping us out and giving us a good deal. Passing it on to the local level. Mike gave of a statistic that is so important, 250 volunteers in our community. Hundreds and thousands of hours. We have to do this. And without any questions, I think this is necessary. July 1, and we have to be on board. This is our best opportunity or proposal. I make a motion to adopt this resolution.

Supervisor Edwards seconds.

The Chairman called for a vote.

The Board approved the motion with a voice vote recorded as follows:

Dallas O. Jones	AYE
Ronald M. West	AYE
R. Randolph Cook	AYE
S. Bruce Phillips	AYE
Barry T. Porter	AYE
Alan W. Edwards	AYE

The motion carried.

Chairman Jones states alright, let's go ahead to item 16.

Michael Johnson states Mr. Chairman in your agenda you have a resolution for your consideration which declares two outdated printers and three computer monitors as surplus property and further authorizing the Information Technology Director to dispose of them as she deems appropriate. The equipment has no salvage value.

Chairman Jones states alright gentleman, what say you?

Supervisor West states I motion to resolve to let the Information Technology Director use the surplus property as she deems fit.

Supervisor Phillips seconds.

Chairman Jones called for a vote.

The Board approved the motion with a voice vote recorded as follows:

Dallas O. Jones	AYE
Ronald M. West	AYE
R. Randolph Cook	AYE
S. Bruce Phillips	AYE
Barry T. Porter	AYE
Alan W. Edwards	AYE

The motion carried.

Chairman Jones states go to number 17 miscellaneous.

Michael Johnson states just a few items to touch on Mr. Chairman. Just In case you missed it, Southampton County was recently featured in the National Association of Counties (NACo) May 29 newsletter, under the "Behind the Seal" section and also in the "Word Search" on page 5 in that newsletter. You have copies of it in your agenda package. You also have an update, the latest on the Atlantic Coast Pipeline; their Executive Press Briefing, a number of Environmental Notices, foreclosure notices, and notices from the state corporation commission as some newspaper articles of interest. I will be glad to answer any questions, if you have those.

Chairman Jones states does any member have any questions? If not, are there any late arrivals?

Michael Johnson states there are two Mr. Chairman. Included in your agenda package was some draft legislation with regard to the wireless communication towers. Supervisor Edwards wanted to make sure that you all were aware of this. There was an article in the June 5th County Connections Newsletter. This past year The General Assembly created the Virginia Wireless Communications Infrastructure Work Group to develop uniform statewide zoning process for wireless communications towers, which will further limit local government's authority in the approval process. They approved Senate Bill 1282 this past year, you have a copy of that in your agenda, but the wireless industry is going to come back this year to look for further refinements in the legislation. Which will include limiting the fee that you all can charge and perhaps providing a process whereby they don't even have to go through much of a process for towers 60 feet or less. So, I did talk to the VaCo staff last week. This is certainly on their radar. They intend to oppose the legislation on your behalf. But they did point out that they did oppose the legislation last year that got through. So, it may be something you all want to take a look at and take a strong position on before the session next year.

Supervisor West states this is for towers that are 60 feet and below.

Michael Johnson states that is what we think right now. We are watching them to see exactly what they are going to do.

Supervisor Phillips states we are paying a consultant to review every one of these applications, we are passing that fee on to the tower.

June 26, 2017

Supervisor Edwards states we are losing about \$750 each application. Have you read it Mr. Railey?

Richard Railey states I have scanned it and the biggest thing is that it takes away from local authority.

Supervisor Edwards states I think we should oppose it, before it becomes 199 feet or less. I cannot remember us turning down a tower. We have always welcomed these cell towers. As a matter of fact, we have gone out of our way to welcome them.

Michael Johnson states, why don't, I suggest this. I will be glad to develop a letter we can send to the VACo committee that will deal with this issue. I will put it your agenda packages next month so you can read it and look at it. That will be plenty of time, VACo won't finish its legislative agenda until November. That will give you plenty of time to be on record with it. Does that sound reasonable? Last thing I have, Mr. Chairman, is the VACo annual conference. It is scheduled for November 12th through the 14th at the Omni Homestead in Bath County. If any of you all are interested in attending, I need to know so that I can make the room reservation for you.

Chairman Jones states I am still going as long as I am able to go.

Michael Johnson states anybody else?

Supervisor West states I am going to take a hold on that for a couple of days.

Supervisor Edwards states now gentleman, we are going to send you. Now, we do expect a report when you come back.

Chairman Jones states alright. I will give you a report. I have no problem with that. Anything else from a board member? Alright, Mr. Cutchins?

Ash Cutchins states I have set out here and I have listened to these reports about the solar people and the pipeline people get up here and say "creating local jobs". Is there any way to check and see if they have created local jobs? And if so, how many? I understand that you drive by these solar panels and there are RVs everywhere. They are obviously coming in from somewhere else and living here, in a camper trailer, working on the solar panels. And the pipeline people said they were going to create a whole bunch of jobs. We have no idea how many they are going to create. Is there any way we can track it? Do we have a department of tracking local employment?

Michael Johnson states we will assign it to the night watchman.

Supervisor Phillips states Ash, I talked to Matt Crowder and he said, he had every man he had busy and they were working moving rock.

Supervisor Porter states and he said he could have used ten more. We don't have any new contractors.

Supervisor Phillips states I wanted to say that earlier, but I just didn't. I will tell Hunter. I will be with him for 8 hours tomorrow.

Ash Cutchins states okay, thank you.

Chairman Jones states meeting adjourned. There being no further business for tonight the meeting adjourned at 8:45 p.m.

June 26, 2017

Dallas O. Jones, Chairman

Michael W. Johnson, Clerk