

At a regular meeting of the Southampton County Board of Supervisors held in the Board Room of the Southampton County Office Center, 26022 Administration Center Drive, Courtland, Virginia on November 27, 2017 at 6:00 PM.

SUPERVISORS PRESENT

Dallas O. Jones, Chairman (Drewryville)  
Ronald M. West, Vice Chairman (Berlin-Ivor)  
Dr. Alan W. Edwards (Jerusalem)  
R. Randolph Cook (Newsoms)  
Barry T. Porter (Franklin)  
S. Bruce Phillips (Capron)  
Carl J. Faison (Boykins-Branchville)

SUPERVISORS ABSENT

OTHERS PRESENT

Michael W. Johnson, County Administrator (Clerk)  
Lynette C. Lowe, Deputy County Administrator/Chief Financial Officer  
Richard E. Railey, Jr., County Attorney  
Frances Duke, Administrative Assistant

OTHERS ABSENT

Chairman Jones called the meeting to order and had the Pledge of Allegiance.

Chairman Jones stated that the first item on the agenda is a closed session.

Supervisor west moved that the Board enter into a closed meeting in accordance with provisions set out in the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended, for the following purposes:

- 1) In accordance with Section 2.2-3711 (A) (5), Discussion with the staff from FSEDI concerning prospective businesses or industries or the expansion of existing businesses or industries where no previous announcement has been made of the business' or industry's' interest in locating or expanding its facilities in the community; and
- 2) In accordance with Section 2.2-3711 (A) (3) and (29), Discussion or consideration of the sale price of approximately ten (10) acres or publicly held real property in the Turner Tract Industrial Park, including the terms and scope of a public contract for certain infrastructure site improvements thereon, discussion in an open meeting would adversely affect the bargaining position or negotiating strategy of the public body.

A motion is required to convene a closed meeting for the purposes described above.

Supervisor West states I motion to move to closed session.

Following a second by Supervisor Cook, the Board approved the motion to go into closed meeting with a voice vote recorded as follows:

Dallas O. Jones	AYE
Ronald M. West	AYE
R. Randolph Cook	AYE
Alan W. Edwards	AYE
S. Bruce Phillips	AYE
Barry T. Porter	AYE
Carl Faison	AYE

BOARD ENTERS INTO CLOSED MEETING AT 6:05 P.M.

BOARD RETURNS TO OPEN SESSION AT 7:00 P.M.

Chairman Jones states it is 7:00 p.m. and I'll call this November 27, 2017 board meeting back to order, at this time, we will have the certification resolution.

Supervisor West read the certification resolution to go back into open session.

**RESOLUTION OF CLOSED MEETING**

**WHEREAS, the Southampton County Board of Supervisors had convened a closed meeting on this date pursuant to an affirmative recorded vote and in accordance with the provisions of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act; and**

**WHEREAS, Section 2.2-3712 (D) of the Code of Virginia requires a certification by the Board that such closed meeting was conducted in conformity with Virginia law.**

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Southampton County Board of Supervisors hereby certifies that, to the best of each member's knowledge, (i) only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements by Virginia law were discussed in the closed meeting to which this certification resolution applies, and (ii) only such public matters as were identified in the motion convening the closed meeting were heard, discussed and considered by the Southampton County Board of Supervisors.**

Supervisor West made a motion to adopt the certification resolution.

Chairman Jones asks any to second that?

Supervisor Edwards states second.

Chairman Jones called for a vote that we adopt the certification resolution.

The Board approved the Certification Resolution with a voice vote recorded as follows:

Dallas O. Jones	AYE
Ronald M. West	AYE
R. Randolph Cook	AYE
Alan W. Edwards	AYE
S. Bruce Phillips	AYE
Barry T. Porter	AYE
Carl Faison	AYE

The motion carried.

Chairman Jones states we did not take any action in our closed meeting. At this time, I will now ask Mr. Burchett, if you would come up and give us the invocation, please.

John Burchett gave the invocation.

Chairman Jones states thank you, Mr. Burchett. Is there anyone else that would like to volunteer next month? If so, let your supervisor know. Good evening everyone. It is now time for citizen comment period.

Ash Cutchin states thank you. Mr. Chairman, good evening. My name is Ash Cutchin and I live on Darden Point Road. Dr. Edwards is my Supervisor. I would like to say a few brief words about a couple of issues. First about the courthouse. The citizens overwhelmingly voted to keep it in Courtland. Several people have asked me about the rumor about the front records room, it was originally constructed with a strong enough foundation to support a second story. Is that true, or is it just a rumor. Does anyone know for sure? Second, now that the adjacent property to the east of the parking lot has become available, is it not possible and economically feasible to look at additional architectural options. Including construction, a two story building, or even taller, with two courtrooms and some other space on the site of the current parking lot and gutting and renovating current office space, without demolishing the whole thing. Do we still have to limit ourselves to some kind of 26 million dollar option? Surely there are less costly options that would satisfy the court. Next concerning the Agricultural and Forestry Districts program, I understand that application fees are for the first core property only. And adjacent parcels can attach to that core with no additional application fees. Yet they are required the same amount of paperwork, as the original. Including several newspaper announcements of public hearings. Is the county spending more money on these projects than we are taking in on fees? If so, why? If we are, then the facts is, that all of us, all of us taxpaying citizens are essentially subsidizing these projects. I am not opposed to them, but I do think that they should be done at no cost to the rest of the taxpayers. Those property owners who stand to gain the most, should cover all the associated cost. If this is some sort of state mandated thing, then we should approach the state about changing the funding procedure. Thank you for letting the public speak.

Chairman Jones states thank you sir. Anyone else.

Larry Brown states Mr. Chairman and gentlemen. I would just like to say that I hope neither of the two proposals for the courthouse presently on the table have been accepted or will be accepted as presented. The people have spoken and we want the courthouse to remain in Courtland. If the cost for each proposal are both studied, it is obvious to me that the 7,745,000 development cost at Camp Parkway is far greater. Perhaps millions of dollars at the Courtland site. The parkway site would also have to have traffic lights for access to the 58 bypass, which would adjust the 58 bypass. The parking lot option for the Courtland option, is extremely poor, for two reasons. For one, all the spaces are on the opposite side of the road from the courthouse, which is not a desirable distance for some of the places. It will be difficult for some of the older people who need to get to the clerk of courts office. If the 475,000 dollars budgeted for this site, is used to purchase the house and 2.8 acres of land adjacent to the parking lot, then two problems would be addressed. One, adequate space to build a courthouse in the existing parking lot. Two, ample parking spaces close to the courthouse. If the new courthouse is built in Courtland, then almost 2 million dollars will be spent for temporary offsite and onsite facilities would be saved. The 13,500,000 proposed cost, I could not see how that jived. The highest number I could see was 12 million and that had demolition cost. Franklin has built their office, but on 10 or 12 foot pile of dirt field. We could alleviate the flood plan problem, I would think, if we put our new courthouse on top of some soil. I would like someone to show me what would be better and less costly than buying the 2.8 acres of land for building on the existing parking lot. I know that the river might have obstructions in it, like trees and debris. It may be worth the cost to go down and remove some of them and get a quick fix to carry some of the water away from the courthouse. Then you might not have to worry about the flood plain as much. Thank you.

Chairman Jones states thank you. Anyone else?

John Burchett states my name is John Burchett and I live in Sebrell. Just a real quick comment on the courthouse. I am sorry that we had to spend tens of thousands of dollars to get to this point, but we did. Whoever wrote the handout at the polling places; that is what I call nonbiased. Straight up and down on each one. Whoever did it, did a great job. Not like the other presentation that was heavily in favor of the off-site place. 80% voted. It was not necessarily for moving the courthouse. We are trying to tell you that we cannot stand any more than absolutely necessary, to do what we have to do, please. Our taxes are killing us. Real quick on this. I got my land assessments. I have five farms. I am not complaining. One was a reduction of 28%. Another one was a reduction of 4.9%. Another one was a reduction of 44%. And I have two farms that were the same. Are we going to have a problem tonight, as far as if we lose value, then we lose tax base. Are we going to raise the property tax to balance the budget?

Ash Cutchin states if you give me those, we can raise them back.

John Burchett states no, I appreciate it. Thankfully I did not have to go to court or threaten to go to court, like the Country Club did and have you all cave in, like you did for them. I am pleased with mine. But it concerns me that somebody is going to have to make up the difference, but I do appreciate mine, Ash.

Ash Cutchin states we can raise them back to where they were.

John Burchett states no, that is okay. Thank you all.

Chairman Jones states thank you. Anyone else?

William Phillips states my name is William Phillips and I live on Dockside, out there. Out on 58, you know that bridge that goes right across the Nottoway River out there, I have been trying to get that thing fixed for a long time. I have asked Mr. Cook. I have worked for the Department. I have tried to get them out here to fix it. They say they do not have any money. I believe that they do have money. They could pull from it, but they just do not want to do it. That bridge is getting bad for the people that go across it. The big trucks that go across the bridge, it goes all the way into North Carolina; they are hauling all that big steel and all that stuff. Those guys have to go to work, but we have to travel. 258, where it has that part, they just roll. The state could come out there and cut that stuff down. What they are doing, they are going out there and they are looking at it. I could go out there and hold my shovel and let them go out there and look at it. I used to work for the state and I retired from the state. I know how they work, but they are not doing their job, gentlemen. They are getting paid. These supervisors are sitting behind these desks down here in Southampton County, down here in Courtland. You call, and they still are not going out there and doing their job. These other guys out there, they have to work, but still supervisors are not doing their job. They are casting it aside. We need that bridge fixed. And we need the roads fixed out there and all the way around this county. It is a shame. It is a shame that all these people are getting these big bucks in Richmond and they are not doing their job. They say, well we do not have any money. I think it is the other way around. Thank you very much.

Chairman Jones states thank you. Anyone else?

Jason Fowler states good evening. My name is Jason Fowler and I live in Courtland. My request to the Board tonight is to simply slow down. You have heard from the citizens that we do not want to spend 26.5 million dollars on a new courthouse that the County cannot afford. Item 11 on the agenda tonight appears to be a presentation by Mosely Architects urging you to move forward with the renovation concept presented by the Courthouse Committee. How much thought and effort went into that concept. Were all the options explored? It appears that most of the efforts to date have been spent on the relocation option. Now we are faced with the reality that this is no longer an option, at least for 10 years. I hope you had time to read the article on the Halifax courthouse renovations and the presentation, which is going to be given by Mosely later. In the article, it states that the Halifax County upon receiving the show cause order, presented numerous plans for possible courthouse repairs and renovations to the Commonwealth. And the Commonwealth provided comments on those plans. An agreement could not be reached and the Judge provided an order to an advisory panel, to modify its original plans, to arrive at a mutually acceptable modification that met the needs and desires of both the court and the community. There are multiple options that can bring the existing facility into substantial compliance with the Virginia Courthouse Facility Guide, for less money than the proposed concept. I ask you to please slow down and explore these options. I have reviewed the existing facility and site. I can provide you with numerous ideas. There is no urgency to act tonight. It is your responsibility to ensure the taxpayers money is spent properly. You should not move forward with the proposed concept as presented. Thank you.

Chairman Jones states thank you. Anyone else?

Joe Vick states my name is Joe Vick. I live in the Capron District. I am here to talk to you about number 11. The courthouse report. I want to reiterate what Ash had to say and especially what Jason had to say about not moving so fast. An order is nothing to fear. An order is designed so that everyone gets what they want from this. And that we have a courthouse that serves our community and we can all be proud of. And as Mr. Porter pointed out when we first started this thing, something that is responsible to the taxpayers, and with a minimal effect on the taxpayers.

So I ask that you take some time to consider looking at other conceptual designs, other than the ones that have been presented. The court allows you to do that. If you are concerned about an order, I think Chairman, you went up to Martinsville or Dr. Edwards. Somebody went up there to look at the tire repurposing facility. Didn't some of you make a trip up there to look at it, to look at it to see what type a facility it was. I think it would behoove you, at this point, before you spend millions of dollars of taxpayer's money, maybe talk to somebody from Halifax. Maybe some of your counterparts in Halifax. They have been working under an order for several years now. I have not talked to them. I do not know what they would say. You might be able to visit Halifax. They are in a similar situation. They have a courthouse in town. Very little room for expansion. Parking is an issue. I understand from reading their plans from the minutes up there, they are going to have on street parking for their judges. So, there are lots of options that you may not be aware of that may be available to us, if we just slow down and take a look at them. The timeline that Mr. Johnson has put out is several months long, so there is time to do this. I just ask, like Mr. Fowler did, to slow down and take a moment or two to look at things. Talk to members of your own community. Since you made the decision to go to referendum, that was over a year ago. By now, a lot of things changed. Right here on Main Street. We have landowners right in this room tonight, and representatives of landowners, who have options available to us for properties here in town on both sides of the courthouse. On both sides of the street, for parking and for building and whatever purpose they need. So a lot of things have changed in the last year since this thing started. I will ask you to slow down tonight and of course listen to what Mr. Bell has to say and not be too hasty. Thank you all.

Chairman Jones states thank you. Anyone else?

Frank Urquhart states I am Frank Urquhart from Ivor. Chairman Jones and Council members, I am not here to get into a whole bunch of things. I am just here to fulfill my promise when I said I would have more participation here with the council. And on that line, I would like to say that Mr. Johnson, I really appreciate the great job that your staff does, as far as, putting the information up on the website. I have never seen anything that good around the whole country. Your staff needs to be commended. Also, Council, as far as citizen's participation from the last election, we are turning out and people are getting more involved in government. That is a good thing because you all need direct input. You cannot keep going on hearsay. The folks need to talk to you because you cannot read people's minds. So the more people turn out that is good. Other than that, as far as your public hearing coming up on the Ag and Forestal District, your item 10 a. Being that you have a great input, I found a typographical error, on page 6. I am glad that our Planning Commission is back from the future because on page 6, paragraph 2. They held their meeting in 2917. You need to make a correction and I hope that does not affect anything. Other than that, I hope everyone is doing their job as a citizen. Thank you.

Chairman Jones states thank you. Anyone else?

Glenn Updike states I am Glenn Updike from Newsoms. On the courthouse, they have made some excellent points. I am not going to repeat them all. The public hearings on this for the past couple of months. Form committees involving local citizens to look into how best to spend the taxpayer's money. I would like to be in John's situation. I tell you, to me, this assessment is completely ridiculous and out of touch with reality. The properties are up 100%. Adding 28 to 100. One piece of property, the only difference is that I took down a fence between two pastures. One of them went up \$100 and one went up \$28. Same way for buildings. And not a single reality, far as agriculture is concerned, I had a farm with 99 acres, it has been surveyed at 89 and reported back, and yet the assessment went up. They did not do their job. They did not look at anything, as far as properties are concerned. I do not think they knew the first thing about agriculture. They just put everything at a flat rate. Open land, flat rate. So, for God's sake, we had the same problem with this group before, previously. We had to go back and change almost every property in the county. Do not, please, do not hire them again.

Chairman Jones states thank you. Anyone else? Citizen comment period is now closed. Go to the minutes. Anyone have any problems or corrections on the minutes? If not, then the minutes stand approved as presented. Go to number 6, highway matters.

Michael Johnson states Mr. Chairman, we have with us tonight, Mr. Tommy Catlett, VDOT's Acting Residency Administrator, to provide project updates on local road projects and ongoing maintenance efforts.

November 27, 2017

Tommy Catlett states good evening, Mr. Chairman and members of the Board. My name is Tommy Catlett, as Mike had said. We will start with our maintenance updates in the Franklin area and the borders. We completed all mowing on secondary roads. Contractors trimmed trees on Flaggy Run, Delaware Road, and Branchville Road and Cypress Bridge Road. We had our contractor remove trees on Story Station and Governor Darden and the town of Courtland. We completed numerous work orders. We replaced driveway pipes and corrected drainage problems all over the area. Some work completed in the Kingsdale area. We completed wedging on route 611 and Flaggy Run. We are pretty much wrapped up, with the exception of two driveways and we are scheduling to surface treat that sometime in the spring and to final seal that road. We also began the towns to get ready for the upcoming parades, over the holidays. We have prepared all of our equipment for the snow season that is upcoming. The anticipated work over the next month includes sweeping the towns, Darden Scout, tree grading, and then the contractor is also going to continue removing trees on 646 up to Sedley where they stopped. And then, we have also scheduled to perform a cross drain replacement on Country Club Road. Capron area, we completed a service treatment on Guy Place Road. We started removing tree roots on route 653, which is Little Texas that was causing pavement damage. We performed pavement repair on route 186 in the town of Branchville. We have performed heavy brush cutting on Indian Town Road and we swept Main Street and the bridge in Courtland. And Main Street in Capron. We have also finished their third round of mowing. Planned work for the upcoming month, cross draining replacements on Porter House Road, White Meadow Road, Millfield Road, and Berlin Dory Road. We also have to repair the rail road crossing on Governor Darden and continue cross cutting on Indian Town Road. Traffic studies updates from the past meeting. We were at Southampton school zone on route 58, we are reviewing that to determine if flashers are necessary. Seacock Chapel bridge signs will be implemented. Rose Valley, Dogwood, trucks signage is also being implemented. We are adding additional signs for the Rose Valley restriction. Construction updates, the Courtland interchange and the topsoil and seeking operations are ongoing. Route 58 in front of the businesses; that work is ongoing and paint marking for the lane shift were installed last week. And in the east bound lanes, after Thanksgiving, which is this upcoming week, weather permitting, it will be the asphalt placement completed on ramp A, west of route 742, they are going to place intermediate asphalt, will be started and will be completed, hopefully this week. On the south bound as well. Route 635, Tucker Swamp Bridge, over the railroad. We are waiting on the contract and execution. The newest received has not been determined yet, but there is a completion date of May 1<sup>st</sup>, 2019 on that project. And then the bridge out by Deerfield Correction Facility, they have proposed the delay and will not proceed until July 6<sup>th</sup>, 2018, completion date May 24<sup>th</sup>, 2019. Then route 58 at the Nottoway, overflow overlay, cones out there in between the high school and the interchange project. They needed more rebar than they had anticipated. They were addressing that and asphalt will be placed after Thanksgiving, over the milled up places to protect it over the winter, and in the future another contractor will come in and mill up the asphalt and relay that latex.

Chairman Jones states alright, anyone have any questions?

Supervisor West states I wanted you to go back. You said the word Seacock Chapel Road and I missed what you were talking about. What is going to be done on Seacock Chapel? Also I heard you mention the bridge on 635. Is that May the 1<sup>st</sup>, is that for contracts received or work done.

Tommy Catlett states May the 1<sup>st</sup> would be the date of completion of 2019.

Supervisor West states the project will be completed.

Tommy Catlett states yes sir. And we are waiting on the contract awarded and execution.

Supervisor West states okay. Go back to Seacock Chapel.

Tommy Catlett states yes sir. The bridge signs. We are adding signs to notify drivers that the bridge is near and the lanes are closing. It is just about signage out there.

Supervisor West states okay.

Chairman Jones states alright, anyone have any questions? Alright. Thank you very much. Monthly concerns. Mr. West.

Supervisor West states no sir. Not at this point.

Chairman Jones states Mr. Faison.

Supervisor Faison states no sir.

Chairman Jones states Mr. Cook.

Supervisor Cook states no sir.

Chairman Jones states Mr. Porter.

Supervisor Porter states no sir.

Chairman Jones states Dr. Edwards.

Supervisor Edwards states no sir.

Chairman Jones states Mr. Phillips.

Supervisor Phillips states no sir. I would like to say thank you for the trimming being done on Indian Town Road, which is what I asked for.

Chairman Jones states alright. Go to number 7. Appointments.

Michael Johnson states the first group of appointments tonight, Mr. Chairman, is for the Industrial Development Authority on December 31, the terms of two members of our Industrial Development Authority will expire. Mr. Ben Lee representing the Drewryville District and Mr. Ernest Claud representing the Capron District. Both of these men have been active members and are eligible for reappointment. We need those recommendations no later than December the 18<sup>th</sup>. To have those done before they currently expire.

Chairman Jones states I can do mine tonight.

Supervisor West states and at this time, on Chairman Jones's behalf, Mr. Ben Lee, representing Drewryville District, has agreed to serve again. We will place his name out for reappointment.

Chairman Jones states Ben Lee has been re-nominated. He has been asked to stay in this position with the same responsibilities with the IDA. He has accepted. If I can get a second on that motion.

Supervisor Phillips states second.

Chairman Jones called for a vote.

The Board approved with a voice vote recorded as follows:

Dallas O. Jones	AYE
Ronald M. West	AYE
R. Randolph Cook	AYE
Alan W. Edwards	AYE
S. Bruce Phillips	AYE
Barry T. Porter	AYE
Carl Faison	AYE

The motion carried.

Supervisor Phillips states Mr. Chairman, Ernest Claude, I have been in touch with him as well. He is willing to return and continue to serve. I would put his name back in nomination to serve another term.

Supervisor Edwards states second.

Chairman Jones called for a vote.

The Board approved with a voice vote recorded as follows:

Dallas O. Jones	AYE
Ronald M. West	AYE
R. Randolph Cook	AYE
Alan W. Edwards	AYE
S. Bruce Phillips	AYE
Barry T. Porter	AYE
Carl Faison	AYE

The motion carried.

Michael Johnson states the second group of appointments, Mr. Chairman, are related to the Board of Equalization. Section 58.1-3370, et seq. Code of Virginia, requires the Circuit Court of Southampton County to appoint a Board of Equalization, composed of not less than three nor more than seven county residents, to hear and give consideration to assessment complaints and to adjust or equalize assessments so that the burden of taxation rests equally and fairly on county citizens. By statute, thirty percent of the members of the board shall be commercial or residential real estate appraisers, other real estate professionals, builders, developers, or legal and financial professionals. Members of the current Board of Assessors are not eligible for appointment to the Board of Equalization. The compensation is the same as that for the Board of Assessors, which is \$60 per meeting. The ordinance that will be considered later this evening provides that the Board of Equalization must complete its work by March 30, 2018. Accordingly, it is necessary to have the members recommended for appointment at your December meeting, if at all possible. The action that we are seeking tonight is to have each Board member to begin to look for prospective nominees for the Board of Equalization and to make their recommendations at your next regular meeting on December 18<sup>th</sup>.

Chairman Jones states alright. Any question from any Board member. Go to number 8, reports. Financial Report, Sherriff's Office, Animal Control, Litter Control, Treasurer's Office, Cooperative Extension, Solid Waste Quantities, Personnel.

Michael Johnson states one personnel change to report this month of November in the Sheriff's Department on November the 1<sup>st</sup>. New hire, Amy B. Justus, annual salary \$38,000.

Chairman Jones states alright. Go to number 9.

Michael Johnson states we have several resolutions tonight for you to consider, Mr. Chairman. The first is your fiscal 2018 semiannual appropriation resolution. Included on pages 2 and 3 of the agenda attached. It appropriates the remaining funds approved in your FY 2018 annual budget; a total of \$28,075,052.00. As you may recall, the first semiannual appropriation resolution was approved earlier this year.

11010	Board of Supervisors	129,545
12110	County Administration	184,023
12220	Human Resources	20,315
12310	Commissioner of Revenue	169,210
12320	Board of Assessors	75,385
12410	Treasurer	154,650
12415	Delinquent Tax Collection	27,403
12430	Accounting	106,647
12510	Data Processing	200,138
12550	Insurance/County Code	-
13200	Registrar	104,240
21100	Circuit Court	13,028
21200	Combined District Courts	14,200
21300	Special Magistrate	332
21600	Clerk of the Circuit Court	266,394
21700	Sheriff - Bailiff	216,854
21750	Courthouse Security	84,103
22100	Commonwealth's Attorney	291,659
22200	Victim Witness	64,203
31200	Sheriff	1,839,440
31400	Enhanced 911	110,503
31500	PSAP Wireless E-911	28,300
31750	School Resource Officer	25,799
32200	Volunteer Fire Departments	-
32300	Volunteer Rescue Squads	-
32400	State Forestry Service	-
33100	Detention	1,634,188
33300	Probation	62,091
34000	Building Inspections	126,500
35100	Animal Control	56,136
35300	Medical Examiner	180
35500	Emergency Service/Civil Defense	75,967
41320	Street Lights	24,325
41500	Assign-A-Highway Program	2,303
42300	Refuse Collection	377,249
42400	Refuse Disposal	476,928
43000	Buildings & Grounds	255,156
51100	Local Health Department	152,000
52000	Mental Health Services	-
53240	Se Services of Southeastern	-
53500	Comprehensive Services Act	257,829
53600	STOP Organization	-
71330	Parks & Recreation Shared Services	15,000
72000	Community Concert Series	-
72200	Rawls Museum Arts	-
72500	Historical Society	-
73200	Walter Cecil Rawls Library	124,093
81100	Planning/Zoning	78,000
81500	Economic Development	-
82400	Soil & Water Conservation District	-
83500	Cooperative Extension Service	24,496
91400	Non-Departmental Operating	-
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>7,069,020</b>
<b>From the General Fund to the Enterprise Fund to be expended only on order of the Board of Supervisors:</b>		
89600	Enterprise Fund Water	408,426
89500	Enterprise Fund Sewer	1,725,421
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>2,133,847</b>
94000	Building Fund	957,793
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>957,793</b>
<b>From the General Fund to the School Operating Fund to be expended only on order of the Southampton County School Board:</b>		
61000	Instruction	9,673,471
62000	Administration	589,294
63000	Other Direction & Management	1,416,947
64000	Operation & Maintenance Services	1,385,322
68000	School Food Service	67,828
66000	Facilities	114,894
67000	Debt Service	1,379,662
68000	Technology/School Operating	312,692
260	Rental Textbook	100,829
265	Technology	103,000
400	At Risk 4-Year Olds	140,023
450	Early Reading Intervention	30,325
500	Title I	282,791
525	Reading First Grant	-
550	Title VIB Special Ed-Flow Through	287,554
560	21st Century Community Learning Center	80,410
625	Title II-A Training and Recruitment	46,247
630	Title IID Ed Tech	-
650	Substance & Drug Prevention	-
800	Vocational Special Education	21,988
850	Opportunity Inc	100,000
900	Pre-School Incentive	6,305
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>16,139,582</b>
<b>From the General Fund to the School Operating Fund to be expended only on order of the Southampton County School Board:</b>		
65100	School Food Service	564,690
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>564,690</b>
<b>From the Virginia Public Assistance Fund to the Virginia Public Assistance Operating Fund to be expended only on order of the Social Services Board of Southampton County:</b>		
309	Welfare Administration (Eligibility)	978,492
313	Benefit Programs	231,628
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1,210,120</b>
	<b>TOTAL APPROPRIATIONS</b>	<b>28,075,052</b>

Chairman Jones states alright.

Supervisor West states I will make the motion because I know it is in order and thoroughly checked. We have already made the initial appropriation. I make a motion that we adopt this semiannual appropriation resolution.

Supervisor Faison states second.

Chairman Jones called for a vote.

The Board approved with a voice vote recorded as follows:

Dallas O. Jones	AYE
Ronald M. West	AYE
R. Randolph Cook	AYE
Alan W. Edwards	AYE
S. Bruce Phillips	AYE
Barry T. Porter	AYE
Carl Faison	AYE

The motion carried.

Chairman Jones states go to b.

Michael Johnson states second resolution is your fiscal 2018 carryover appropriation. It appropriates \$694,673.50 in carryover revenue from various sources and programs. Of this sum, \$568,919.21 is appropriated to the School Fund, and the balance of \$125,754.29 is appropriated to the General Fund.

4-100-31200-6024	DISCRETIONARY FUND	c/o	4,471.71
31200-6025	CRIME PREVENTION	c/o	4,937.44
31200-6030	DARE	c/o	2,442.93
31200-6031	SENIOR CITIZEN AWARENESS PROGRAM	c/o	500.00
31200-6050	SHERIFF'S OFFICE MEMORIAL FUND	c/o	6,030.00
31600-5510	TRAINING/EQUIP PROJ LIFESAVER	c/o	11,460.82
35500-8200	DISASTER PREPAREDNESS	c/o	1,059.05
72500-5650	REBECCA VAUGHAN HOUSE PROJECT	c/o	94,852.34
	TOTAL		<u>125,754.29</u>

From the General Fund to the School Operating Fund to be expended only on order of the Southampton County School Board:

TECHNOLOGY PLAN, PROGRAM 265			
4-205-68100-8205-009-1-265	Technology Hardware (Carryover)	c/o	294,850.64
	TOTAL		<u>294,850.64</u>

TITLE I, PROGRAM 500			
4-205-61100-1120-002-1-500	Instructional Salary-Reg	c/o	20,509.73
4-205-61100-1140-002-1-500	Technical Salary-Reg	c/o	3,930.48
4-205-61100-2100-002- -500	FICA Benefits	c/o	3,649.03
4-205-61100-2210-002- -500	VRS Ret - Prof	c/o	9,751.78
4-205-61100-6002-002-1-500	Inst. Materials - Achievement Grant	c/o	2,528.65
4-205-61100-6000-002-1-500	Instructional & Educational Materials	c/o	28,212.04
4-205-62120-5500-009- -500	Travel (Mileage) Admin	c/o	270.41
4-205-62120-6000-002-1-500	Instructional & Educational Materials	c/o	0.00
	TOTAL		<u>68,852.12</u>

TITLE VIB ELEMENTARY, PROGRAM 550			
4-205-61100-1120-003-2-550	Instructional Salary - SP	c/o	26,806.11
	TOTAL		<u>26,806.11</u>

IV B 21ST CENTURY COM LEARNING CENTER - PROGRAM 560			
4-205-61310-1110-002-1-560	Administrative Sal - Reg	c/o	5,000.00
4-205-61310-1120-002-1-560	Instructional Salary - Reg	c/o	58,781.06
4-205-61310-1140-002-1-560	Technical Salaries - Reg	c/o	3,000.00
4-205-61310-2100-002- -560	FICA Benefits	c/o	5,108.75
4-205-61310-5500-002-1-560	Travel - Reg	c/o	2,159.45
4-205-61310-3000-002-1-560	Purchased Services	c/o	8,564.00
4-205-61310-6000-002-1-560	Materials & Supplies-reg	c/o	15,418.82
4-205-63200-1170- -560	Drivers	c/o	6,000.00
4-205-63200-2100- -560	FICA Benefits	c/o	459.00
			<u>104,491.08</u>

TITLE IIA TRAINING & RECRUITING-625			
4-205-61100-1120-002-1-625	Instructional Salary-Reg	c/o	6,798.00
		c/o	1,581.21
	TOTAL		<u>8,379.21</u>

<b>OPPORTUNITY INC, PROGRAM 850</b>			
4-205-61210-1120-003-3-850	Guidance Services Sal - VOC	c/o	4,441.00
4-205-61210-2100-003- 850	FICA Benefits	c/o	340.14
4-205-61210-2210-003- 850	VRS Ret Prof	c/o	724.77
4-205-61210-2214-003- 850	VRS Group Life	c/o	58.18
4-205-61210-2750-003- 850	VRS Health Ins Credit	c/o	54.62
4-205-61210-5200-003-3-850	Communications	c/o	66.53
4-205-61210-6000-003-3-850	Instructional & Edu Materials	c/o	1,794.20
4-205-64200-4000- 850	Student Incentives	c/o	11,635.88
		<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>19,115.32</b>
<b>PRE-SCHOOL INCENTIVE, PROGRAM 900</b>			
4-205-61100-6000-002-2-900	Instructional & Educational Materials	c/o	20,144.81
		<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>20,144.81</b>
<b>TITLEVI RURAL &amp; LOW INCOME, PROGRAM 960</b>			
4-205-61100-6000-002-1-960	Materials & Supplies - Reg	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>26,279.92</b>
	<b>TOTAL SCHOOL FUND</b>		<b>568,919.21</b>

TOTAL APPROPRIATION ----- 694,673.50

REVENUE APPROPRIATION NOVEMBER 27, 2017  
(REVENUE RECEIVED FOR ABOVE EXPENDITURES)

GENERAL FUND

3-100-41050-0005	TRANSFER IN-GENERAL FUND RESERVE	C/O	1,059.05
3-100-41050-0005	TRANSFER IN-GENERAL FUND RESERVE	C/O	2,442.93
3-100-41050-0005	TRANSFER IN-GENERAL FUND RESERVE	C/O	4,937.44
3-100-41050-0005	TRANSFER IN-GENERAL FUND RESERVE	C/O	500.00
3-100-41050-0005	TRANSFER IN-GENERAL FUND RESERVE	C/O	94,852.34
3-100-41050-0005	TRANSFER IN-GENERAL FUND RESERVE	C/O	11,460.82
3-100-41050-0005	TRANSFER IN-GENERAL FUND RESERVE	C/O	4,471.71
3-100-41050-0005	TRANSFER IN-GENERAL FUND RESERVE	C/O	6,030.00
	<b>REVENUE GENERAL FUND</b>		<b>125,754.29</b>

SCHOOL FUND

3-205-25020-0760	TECHNOLOGY PLAN - 265	C/O	294,850.64
3-205-33020-0020	TITLE I 500 & 501	C/O	68,852.12
3-205-33020-0190	TITLE IVB FLOW THROUGH - 550	C/O	26,806.11
3-205-33020-0290	VIB PRE-SCHOOL INCENTIVE - 900	C/O	20,144.81
3-205-33020-0320	PURCHASED SERVICES	C/O	8,379.21
3-205-33020-0340	TITLE VI RURAL & LOW INCOME	C/O	26,279.92
3-205-33020-0360	OPPORTUNITY INC - 850	C/O	19,115.32
3-205-33020-0370	IV B 21ST CENTURY COM LEARNING GRNT-560	C/O	104,491.08
	<b>REVENUE SCHOOL FUND</b>		<b>568,919.21</b>

TOTAL APPROPRIATION ----- 694,673.50

NO NEW MONEY REQUIRED FOR NOVEMBER 2017 APPROPRIATION

GENERAL FUND - CARRY-OVER FUNDS

6,030.00	SHERIFF/MEMORIAL FUND
500.00	SHERIFF/SENIOR CITIZEN AWARENESS PROGRAM
94,852.34	CULTURAL ENRICHMENT/REBECCA VAUGHAN HOUSE PROJECT/HISTORICAL SOCIETY
4,937.44	SHERIFF/CRIME PREVENTION
2,442.93	SHERIFF/DARE
11,460.82	SHERIFF/PROJECT LIFESAVER
4,471.71	SHERIFF/DISCRETIONARY FUND
1,059.05	SHERIFF/DISASTER PREPAREDNESS
<b>125,754.29</b>	<b>TOTAL CARRY-OVER/GENERAL FUND</b>

<u>REVENUE CODE</u>	<u>EXPENDITURE CODE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
3-205-33020-0020	4-205-61100-6002-002-1-500	INST. MATERIALS-ACHIEVEMENT GRANT	2,528.65
TITLE I 500 & 501	4-205-61100-6000-002-1-500	INSTRUCTIONAL & EDUCATIONAL MATERIALS	28,212.04
	4-205-62120-5500-009- 500	TRAVEL (MILEAGE)-ADMIN	270.41
	4-205-61100-1120-002-1-500	INSTRUCTIONAL SALARY-REG	20,509.73
	4-205-61100-1140-002-1-500	TECHNICAL SALARY-REG	3,930.48
	4-205-61100-2100-002- 500	FICA BENEFITS	3,649.03
	4-205-61100-2210-002- 500	VRS RET - PROF	9,751.78
		<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>68,852.12</b>
3-205-33020-0190	4-205-61100-1120-003-2-550	INSTRUCTIONAL SALARY-SP	26,806.11
TITLE IVB FLOW THROUGH - 550			
3-205-25020-0760	4-205-68100-8205-009-1-285	TECHNOLOGY HARDWARE (CARRYOVER)	294,850.64
TECHNOLOGY PLAN-265			
3-205-33020-0370	4-205-61310-1110-002-1-560	ADMINISTRATIVE SAL - REG	5,000.00
IV B 21st CENTURY COM LEARNING CENT	4-205-61310-1120-002-1-560	INSTRUCTIONAL SALARY - REG	58,781.05
	4-205-61310-1140-002-1-560	TECHNICAL SALARY-REG	3,000.00
	4-205-61310-2100-002 560	FICA BENEFITS	5,108.75
	4-205-61310-5500-002-1-560	TRAVEL - REG	2,159.45
	4-205-61310-3000-002-1-560	PURCHASED SERVICES	8,564.00

November 27, 2017

REVENUE CODE	EXPENDITURE CODE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
	4-205-61310-6000-002-1-560	MATERIALS & SUPPLIES - REG	15,415.82
	4-205-63200-1170- 560	DRIVERS	6,000.00
	4-205-63200-2100- 560	FICA BENEFITS	459.00
		<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>104,491.08</b>
3-205-33020-0320	4-205-61100-1120-002-1-625	INSTRUCTIONAL SALARY-REG	6,798.00
TITLE IIA TRAINING & RECRUITING-625	4-205-61100-6000-002-1-625	MATERIALS & SUPPLIES-REG	1,581.21
		<b>SUB TOTAL</b>	<b>8,379.21</b>
3-205-33020-0360	4-205-61210-1120-003-3-850	GUIDANCE SERVICES SAL - VOC	4,441.00
OPPORTUNITY, INC - PROG 850	4-205-61210-2100-003- 850	FICA BENEFITS	340.14
	4-205-61210-2210-003- 850	VRS RET PROF	724.77
	4-205-61210-2214-003- 850	VRS GROUP LIFE	58.18
	4-205-61210-2750-003- 850	VRS HEALTH INS CREDIT	54.62
	4-205-61210-5200-003-3-850	COMMUNICATIONS	66.53
	4-205-61210-6000-003-3-850	INSTRUCTIONAL & EDU MATERIALS	1,794.20
	4-205-64200-4000- 850	STUDENT INCENTIVES	11,635.88
		<b>SUB TOTAL</b>	<b>19,115.32</b>
3-205-33020-0290	4-205-61100-6000-002-2-900	INSTRUCTIONAL & EDUCATIONAL MATERIALS	20,144.81
VIB PRE-SCHOOL INCENTIVE-900			
3-205-33020-0340	4-205-61100-6000-002-1-960	MATERIALS & SUPPLIES - REG	26,279.92
TITLE VI RURAL & LOW INCOME			
		<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>568,919.21</b>

Chairman Jones states alright, anyone have any questions. I need a motion.

Supervisor Faison states so moved.

Supervisor West states second.

Chairman Jones called for a vote.

The Board approved with a voice vote recorded as follows:

Dallas O. Jones	AYE
Ronald M. West	AYE
R. Randolph Cook	AYE
Alan W. Edwards	AYE
S. Bruce Phillips	AYE
Barry T. Porter	AYE
Carl Faison	AYE

The motion carried.

Chairman Jones states go to c.

Michael Johnson states c is your deferred revenue appropriation resolution. It appropriates \$389,383.67 in deferred revenue from various sources and programs to Southampton County Public Schools.

RENTAL TEXTBOOKS/PROGRAM 260			
4-205-61100-6040-002-1-260	TEXTBOOKS	TOTAL	200,799.00
TECHNOLOGY PLAN/PROGRAM 265			
4-205-61100-6040-002-1-260	TECHNOLOGY PLAN	TOTAL	0.12
PBIS GRANT/PROGRAM 273			
4-205-61100-5500-003-1-273	TRAVEL	TOTAL	3,357.14
CAMP FOUNDATION GRANTS, PROGRAM 310			
4-205-61100-6004-002-1-310	EARLY CHILDREN'S LITERACY		7,741.87
4-205-61100-3000-002-1-310	PURCHASED SERVICES	TOTAL	3,500.00
			11,241.87
OBICI FOUNDATION GRANT, PROGRAM 312			
4-205-62230-3000- 312	OBICI GRANT - HEALTHY MINDS	TOTAL	21,912.15
SCIENCE LAB - SHS FY13, PROGRAM 315			
4-205-61100-6000-002-1-315	FOOD BY THE FOOT CAPRON FY16		2,347.25
4-205-61100-6003-002-1-315	FOOD BY THE FOOT RIVERDALE FY16		2,500.00
4-205-61100-6004-003-1-315	FOOD BY THE FOOT NOTTOWAY FY16		1,521.62
4-205-61100-6007-002-1-315	FOOD BY THE FOOT MEHERRIN FY16	TOTAL	1,433.13
			7,802.00
ELECTRICIAN PROGRAM, PROGRAM 318			
4-205-61100-6000-003-1-318	ELECTRICIAN PROGRAM-SHS	TOTAL	50,000.00
FRANKLIN SOUTHAMPTON CHARITIES, PROGRAM 320			
4-205-61100-1120-002-1-320	TUTORIAL SALARIES - MEHERRIN		1,292.53
61100-1121-002-1-320	TUTORIAL SALARIES - RIVERDALE		1,090.00
61100-1122-002-1-320	TUTORIAL SALARIES - CAPRON		682.00
61100-1123-002-1-320	TUTORIAL SALARIES - NOTTOWAY		0.00
61100-2100-003-1-320	FICA BENEFITS		234.44
61100-3002-003-3-320	FIS CHARITIES TECH CENT COMP FY15		0.00
61100-3004-003-3-320	TECH CENTER COMPETITION FEES FY17		619.03
61100-6002-002-1-320	FIS CHARITIES TUTORING M&S		362.31
61100-6003-002-1-320	READING CENTER-HUNTERDALE		6,298.84
61100-6005-002-1-320	YOUNG SCIENTIST FY15		0.00
61100-6023-002-1-320	CAPRON HISTORY BOOKS		2,984.42
61100-8200-003-3-320	TECH CENTER KIT/PLYGRD EQUIP 05/06		3,367.61
61100-8204-003-3-320	TECH CNTR TECHNOLOGY LAB EQUIP 05/06		258.63
61100-8206-003-3-320	CAPITAL OUTLAY-SHS SAND (06/07)		327.22
61100-8228-002-1-320	READ WITH ME-CAPRON		263.32
66200-8100- 320	FIS CHARITIES GRANT - SHS TRACK FY15		500.00
66200-8105- 320	FIS CHARITIES - GREENHOUSE FY15		14,119.78
		TOTAL	32,390.13
INTERNATIONAL PAPER GRANTS, PROGRAM 330			
4-205-61100-6000-002-1-330	MATERIALS & SUPPLIES - CAPRON		4,148.49
61100-6010-002-1-330	MATERIALS & SUPPLIES - MEHERRIN		44.56
61100-6013-002-1-330	MATERIALS & SUPPLIES - NOTTOWAY		154.75
61100-6014-002-1-330	IP ACCELERATED READING-MEH 0910		95.13
		TOTAL	4,443.94

HUNTERDALE FAMILY PRESERVATION, PROGRAM 350			
4-205-61100-1120-002-1-350	TUTORIAL SALARIES - NOTTOWAY		2,099.12
4-250-61100-2100-002-1-350	FICA BENEFITS		170.00
4-205-61100-6000-002-1-350	MATERIAL & SUPPLIES		2,060.63
	TOTAL		4,329.75
LOCAL DONATIONS, PROGRAM 360			
4-205-66200-8100- -360	LOCAL DONATION - SHS TENNIS COURTS	TOTAL	25,000.00
PROGRAM 400			
4-205-61100-6000-000-1-400	MATERIALS & SUPPLIES	TOTAL	6,208.58
READING INTERVENTION, PROGRAM 450			
4-205-61100-6000-002-1-450	MATERIALS & SUPPLIES - REG	TOTAL	21,898.99
TOTAL SCHOOL FUND			389,383.67

REVENUE APPROPRIATION November 27, 2017  
(REVENUE RECEIVED FOR ABOVE EXPENDITURES)

SCHOOL FUND			
3-205-18990-0100	EXPENDITURE REFUNDS		21,898.99
3-205-18990-0100	EXPENDITURE REFUNDS		200,799.00
3-205-18990-0100	EXPENDITURE REFUNDS		0.12
3-205-18990-0100	EXPENDITURE REFUNDS		6,208.58
3-205-18990-0100	EXPENDITURE REFUNDS		3,357.14
3-205-18990-0101	DONATIONS		11,241.87
3-205-18990-0101	DONATIONS		21,912.15
3-205-18990-0101	DONATIONS		7,802.00
3-205-18990-0101	DONATIONS		50,000.00
3-205-18990-0101	DONATIONS		32,390.13
3-205-18990-0101	DONATIONS		4,443.94
3-205-18990-0101	DONATIONS		4,329.75
3-205-18990-0101	DONATIONS		25,000.00
REVENUE SCHOOL FUND			389,383.67

<u>REVENUE CODE</u>	<u>EXPENDITURE CODE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
3-205-018990-0100	4-205-61100-6040-002-1-260	TEXTBOOKS	200,799.00
3-205-018990-0100	4-205-61100-5500-003-1-273	TRAVEL	3,357.14
3-205-018990-0101	4-205-61100-6004-002-1-310	EARLY CHILDREN'S LITERACY	7,741.87
	4-205-61100-3000-002-1-310	PURCHASED SERVICES	3,500.00
		SUBTOTAL	11,241.87
3-205-018990-0101	4-205-62230-3000- 312	OBICI GRANT- HEALTHY MINDS	21,912.15
3-205-018990-0101	4-205-61100-6000-002-1-315	FOOD BY THE FOOT CAPRON FY16	2,347.25
	4-205-61100-6003-002-1-315	FOOD BY THE FOOT RIVERDALE FY16	2,500.00
	4-205-61100-6004-002-1-315	FOOD BY THE FOOT NOTTOWAY FY16	1,521.62
	4-205-61100-6007-002-1-315	FOOD BY THE FOOT MEHERRIN FY16	1,433.13
		SUB TOTAL	7,802.00
3-205-018990-0101	4-205-61100-6000-003-1-318	ELECTRICIAN PROGRAM-SHS	50,000.00
3-205-018990-0101	4-205-61100-1120-002-1-320	TUTORIAL SALARIES - MEHERRIN	1,292.53
	4-205-61100-1121-002-1-320	TUTORIAL SALARIES - RIVERDALE	1,090.00
	4-205-61100-1122-002-1-320	TUTORIAL SALARIES - CAPRON	682.00
<u>REVENUE CODE</u>	<u>EXPENDITURE CODE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
	4-205-61100-2100-002-1-320	FICA BENEFITS	234.44
	4-205-61100-6002-002-1-320	F/S CHARITIES TUTORING M&S	362.31
	4-205-61100-6003-002-1-320	READING CENTER - HUNTERDALE	6,298.84
	4-205-61100-6023-002-1-320	CAPRON HISTORY BOOKS	2,984.42
	4-205-61100-8228-002-1-320	READ WITH ME - CAPRON	253.32
	4-205-61100-3004-003-3-320	TECH CENTER COMPETITION FEES FY17	619.03
	4-205-61100-8200-003-3-320	TECH CENTER KIT/PLYGRD EQUIP 05/06	3,367.61
	4-205-61100-8204-003-3-320	TECH CNTR TECHNOLOGY LAB EQUIP 05/06	258.63
	4-205-61100-8206-003-3-320	CAPITAL OUTLAY - SHS BAND (06/07)	327.22
	4-205-66200-8100- 320	F/S CHARITIES GRANT-SHS TRACK	500.00
	4-205-66200-8105- 320	F/S CHARITIES - GREENHOUSE FY16	14,119.78
		SUB TOTAL	32,390.13
3-205-018990-0101	4-205-61100-6000-002-1-330	MATERIALS & SUPPLIES - CAPRON	4,148.49
	4-205-61100-6010-002-1-330	MATERIALS & SUPPLIES - MEHERRIN	44.56
	4-205-61100-6012-002-1-330	MATERIALS & SUPPLIES - NOTTOWAY	154.76
	4-205-61100-6014-002-1-330	IP ACCELERATED READING-MEH 0910	96.13
		SUB TOTAL	4,443.94
3-205-018990-0101	4-205-61100-1120-002-1-350	TUTORIAL SALARIES - NOTTOWAY	2,099.12
	4-205-61100-2100-002-1-350	FICA BENEFITS	170.00
	4-205-61100-6000-002-1-350	MATERIALS & SUPPLIES	2,060.63
		SUB TOTAL	4,329.75
3-205-018990-0101	4-205-66200-8100- 360	LOCAL DONATION - SHS TENNIS COURTS	25,000.00
3-205-018990-0100	4-205-61100-6000-000-1-400	MATERIALS & SUPPLIES	6,208.58
3-205-018990-0100	4-205-61100-6000-002-1-450	MATL & SUPPLIES - REG	19,898.99
	4-205-61100-3000-002-1-450	PURCHASED SERVICES	2,000.00
		SUB TOTAL	21,898.99
TOTAL			389,383.55

Chairman Jones states alright. I need a motion.

Supervisor Phillips states so moved.

Supervisor West states second.

Chairman Jones called for a vote.

The Board approved with a voice vote recorded as follows:

Dallas O. Jones	AYE
Ronald M. West	AYE
R. Randolph Cook	AYE
Alan W. Edwards	AYE
S. Bruce Phillips	AYE
Barry T. Porter	AYE
Carl Faison	AYE

The motion carried.

Chairman Jones states go to d. We need the bills paid.

Supervisor West states yes sir. I make a motion that all bills be paid properly.

Supervisor Cook states second.

Chairman Jones called for a vote.

The Board approved the motion to pay bills in the amount of \$4,204,068.22, to be paid by check numbers 154786 through 155190, with a voice vote recorded as follows:

The Board approved with a voice vote recorded as follows:

Dallas O. Jones	AYE
Ronald M. West	AYE
R. Randolph Cook	AYE
Alan W. Edwards	AYE
S. Bruce Phillips	AYE
Barry T. Porter	AYE
Carl Faison	AYE

The motion carried.

Chairman Jones states go to number 10.

Michael Johnson states we have a series of Public Hearings tonight, Mr. Chairman. The first one is related to the ag and forestal districts for Sarah and William Day. This public hearing is held pursuant to Section 15.2-1427 and 15.2-2204 of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended, to consider an application by Sarah Day and William Day, owners, for the creation of a Voluntary Agriculture and Forestry District. The property is known as Tax Parcels 86-20A and 86-27, collectively they contains approximately 339.26 acres and is located on the east side of Porter House Road, (Rte. 712), south of Cabin Pond Road, (Rte. 702). The property is in the Boykins Voting and Magisterial Districts. At its October 12, 2017 meeting, the Planning Commission recommended approval of the voluntary agricultural and forestal district. The notice of public hearing was published in the Tidewater News on November 12, and November 19, 2017 and all adjacent landowners were notified by first class mail as required by law. After conclusion of tonight's public hearing, the Board of Supervisors will consider the comments offered this evening and will proceed to approve, deny, or defer action on the proposed district. Mrs. Beth Lewis, Secretary to the Planning Commission, will provide introductory remarks; after which, all interested parties are invited to come forward and express their views.

Beth Lewis states good evening. This is the first of four public hearings for voluntary ag and forestal districts tonight. A little summary, voluntary ag and forestal districts, each require a public hearing before the Board of Supervisors, advertised in the Tidewater News, and mailed to

the abutting property owners. Before it gets to you, it is appearing before the Planning Commission, advertising in the paper, and notices sent out to abutting property owners. And before that, the Planning Commission at the meeting before that, discusses the request, acting as the Advisory Board, with no notice in the newspaper because it is not a public hearing, it is just a public meeting, but advertising and notices in the mail to all abutting property owners. So the abutting property owners get three mailings for each of these requests. And that is what the first letter says. It says you are going to get two more mailings, so you do not have to call and ask more questions, basically. But the abutting property owners in these cases, are very well notified. The first two mailings have a map on the back. This request is from Mr. Day and he is here in the audience tonight. This is a district just north of Branchville off of Porter House Road. The Planning Commission, acting as the Advisory Board and again as the Planning Commission discussed this. They made a unanimous recommendation of approval. The staff report includes a summary of the soil types, the typography, the existing extent of the buildings on the property, and any natural features like streams. This one is right outside the Boykins, Newsoms, and Branchville planning areas, so ag and forestry is foreseen as its use for at least the next 10 to 20 years. Approximately two miles to the south and east of this site, Southampton Solar is installing 1,400 acres of solar panels, but in this area, Porter House Road, there are single family homes and varies, but mostly agriculture and forestry. Mr. Day is here is you have any questions.

Chairman Jones states alright. Any questions from the Board? Alright. Public hearing is now open. Is there anyone for or against this application?

Ash Cutchin states thank you Mr. Chairman. My name is Ash Cutchin and I am in favor of all of these. I would like to expand on my initial comment. It sounds like from the work that Mrs. Lewis had to do and all these mailings to all these adjacent property owners, that we ought to at least take another look at just how much time, effort, and money are spent on all these hearings and announcements in the newspaper of notifications. If it would require raising the fee, then I would suggest for you to consider that. Otherwise, I am in favor of it. Thank you.

Chairman Jones states thank you. Anyone else?

John Burchett states my name is John Burchett. I have a question. Adjacent area land owners can join this group, is that the way that it works?

Michael Johnson states correct, if they are contiguous.

Beth Lewis states next year.

Supervisor West states one property can make an application for an ag and forestry designation. Adjacent property owners can attach to them.

Michael Johnson states yes, if they are within one mile.

John Burchett states okay. So if my farm is within a mile, would I have to go for a public hearing? I am asking for a general question, for anybody. Do they have to go for a public hearing that has to be advertised?

Michael Johnson states yes.

John Burchett states okay. Thank you. I am not opposed. I am for it.

Chairman Jones states thank you. Anyone else? The public hearing is now closed. What say you Board?

Supervisor Edwards states I think we need to go with the Planning Commission's recommendation.

Supervisor Faison states second.

Chairman Jones called for a vote.

The Board approved with a voice vote recorded as follows:

Dallas O. Jones	AYE
Ronald M. West	AYE
R. Randolph Cook	AYE
Alan W. Edwards	AYE
S. Bruce Phillips	AYE
Barry T. Porter	AYE
Carl Faison	AYE

The motion carried.

Chairman Jones states go to b.

Michael Johnson states item b is the second ag and forestal district. It is property owned by Mrs. Sarah Day. This public hearing is held pursuant to Section 15.2-1427 and 15.2-2204 of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended, to consider an application by William Day, applicant, on behalf of Sarah Day, owner, for the creation of a Voluntary Agriculture and Forestry District. The property is known as Tax Parcels 2-9, 2-10, 2-10A, and 2-12, contains approximately 476 acres in Southampton County and is located on the west side of Crumpler Road, (Rte. 618), south of Old Blackwater Road, (Rte. 621). The property is in the Berlin-Ivor Voting and Magisterial Districts. At its October 12, 2017 meeting, the Planning Commission recommended approval of the voluntary agricultural and forestal district. The notice of public hearing was published in the Tidewater News on November 12, and November 19, 2017 and all adjacent landowners were notified by first class mail as required by law. After conclusion of tonight's public hearing, the Board of Supervisors will consider the comments offered this evening and will proceed to approve, deny or defer action on the proposed district. Mrs. Beth Lewis, Secretary to the Planning Commission, will provide introductory remarks after which all interested parties are invited to come forward and express their views.

Beth Lewis states this parcel is about as far away from Mr. Day's first parcel as you can get. The first parcel is just outside of Branchville. This is the very northwestern part of the County. North of the Ivor planning area by Crumpler Road. This property is about a mile from the Ivor planning district, so again, this property is seen as being used for ag and forestry and the planning zone for the next 10 to 20 years. It is exclusively prime farmland and prime drained. It has been in ag and forestry for several generations. There are very few residences in this area. This part of the county is a pretty sparse developed piece. The Planning Commission acting as both the Advisory Board and the Planning Commission recommended approval of this application.

Chairman Jones states any questions for Mrs. Lewis? Alright. The public hearing is now open. Anyone for or against this application.

Ash Cutchin states I am for it sir.

Chairman Jones states alright. Anyone else? The public hearing is now closed. What say you Board?

Supervisor West states this is in the Ivor district. I know where Crumpler Road is and I know where this is located. I motion that we approve this change to the Voluntary Agriculture and Forestal District.

Supervisor Phillips states second.

Chairman Jones called for a vote.

The Board approved with a voice vote recorded as follows:

Dallas O. Jones	AYE
Ronald M. West	AYE
R. Randolph Cook	AYE
Alan W. Edwards	AYE
S. Bruce Phillips	AYE
Barry T. Porter	AYE
Carl Faison	AYE

The motion carried.

Chairman Jones states go to c.

Michael Johnson states item 10c, Mr. Chairman, is the third ag and forestal district application. This one included property owned by Mr. William Day and Mr. Thomas Barham. This public hearing is held pursuant to Section 15.2-1427 and 15.2-2204 of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended, to consider an application by William Day, Carey's Bridge, LLC, and Ira T. Barham, owners, for the creation of a Voluntary Agriculture and Forestry District. The property is known as Tax Parcels 42-19, 42-13, 43-17A, 58-1, 57-65, 57-63, and 57-63-6, contains 1,617.08 acres and is generally located between Popes Station Road, Rte. 609 and Carys Bridge Road, Rte. 653, between Raccoon Creek Drive and Indian Town Road, Rte. 651. The property is in the Capron Voting and Magisterial Districts. At its October 12, 2017 meeting, the Planning Commission recommended approval of the voluntary agricultural and forestal district. The notice of public hearing was published in the Tidewater News on November 12, and November 19, 2017 and all adjacent landowners were notified by first class mail as required by law. After conclusion of tonight's public hearing, the Board of Supervisors will consider the comments offered this evening and will proceed to approve, deny or defer action on the proposed district. Mrs. Beth Lewis, Secretary to the Planning Commission, will provide introductory remarks after which all interested parties are invited to come forward and express their views.

Beth Lewis states this is the first district that you will see that is not contiguous. It has a core area, which is over 800 acres and a satellite area, which is over 700 acres. The satellite areas need to be within one mile of the core of its district. You will see some later in this season that has a lot of satellite areas. This one has one. This property is about 1,600 acres. Both the core and the satellite have some areas of farmland with statewide importance. A large part of the property is prime farmland. This property is closer to the developed areas. It is about five miles west of the Town of Courtland and about two miles north of the Town of Capron. There are scattered homes around Carys Bridge Road and Indian Town Road. There are other various homes in the area, but by no means as developed as Capron or Courtland. The Planning Commission acting as the Advisory Board and the Planning Commission, both recommended approval of the district.

Chairman Jones states alright. Any questions for Mrs. Lewis? The public hearing is now open. Anyone for or against this application.

Tommy Barham states good evening. My name is Tommy Barham. First, I would like to thank you all for your service. My Dad spent the biggest part of my lifetime on the Planning Commission. So I know the sacrifice that your families and you are making. I commend you and thank you for your service. I thank you for the opportunity to give us a chance to voluntarily put our land in the agriculture and forestry districts. And to try and help us save the heritage that we have. This land has been in my family for generations. I know it can be traced back past the 1800s. They are century farms. I will not come back after this one because I am up for number four too. I feel the same. And thank you very much.

Chairman Jones alright, thank you. Anyone else? The public hearing is now closed. What say you Board?

Supervisor Phillips states I would make a motion that we approve the ag and forestry district that was presented. I am a neighbor of this, so I look forward to this.

Supervisor Edwards states second.

Chairman Jones called for a vote.

The Board approved with a voice vote recorded as follows:

Dallas O. Jones	AYE
Ronald M. West	AYE
R. Randolph Cook	AYE
Alan W. Edwards	AYE
S. Bruce Phillips	AYE
Barry T. Porter	AYE
Carl Faison	AYE

The motion carried.

Chairman Jones states go to d.

Michael Johnson states item 10d, Mr. Chairman, is the last public hearing related to the ag and forestal districts. This one is for Mr. Thomas Barham, again, and Mr. Day. This public hearing is held pursuant to Section 15.2-1427 and 15.2-2204 of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended, to consider an application by Ira Thomas Barham and William Day, owners, for the creation of a Voluntary Agriculture and Forestry District. The property is known as Tax Parcels 57-14, 57-7, 57-6, 57-51, 57-36, 57-48, and 57-59, contains approximately 626.05 acres and is generally located in the area of Indian Town Road, Rte. 651, Old Belfield Road, Rte. 652, Carys Bridge Road, Rte. 653, and Rawlings Road, Rte. 654. The property is in the Capron Voting and Magisterial Districts. At its October 12, 2017 meeting, the Planning Commission recommended approval of the voluntary agricultural and forestal district. The notice of public hearing was published in the Tidewater News on November 12, and November 19, 2017 and all adjacent landowners were notified by first class mail as required by law. After conclusion of tonight's public hearing, the Board of Supervisors will consider the comments offered this evening and will proceed to approve, deny or defer action on the proposed district. Mrs. Beth Lewis, Secretary to the Planning Commission, will provide introductory remarks after which all interested parties are invited to come forward and express their views.

Beth Lewis states this is the last one for tonight. This has a core area and two satellites. It is just north of the Town of Capron in three areas total, 545 acres total. They have soils and farmland of statewide importance. And prime farmland and prime farmland if drained. To explain how this will happen next year, once these districts are created, to start you have to have a 200 acre core. Then you can have satellite pieces that are under 200 acres, but they need to be within 1 mile of the core. Once a district is created, like this district, which is three distinct pieces, then the new edge of the core includes all three of those districts. So next year, if someone wants to join this forestry and ag district, then their property needs to be within a mile of the new boundary. Not a mile from the original core. All of these will be reviewed again in September of 2027 because they are for ten year terms and should someone join a district next year or subsequent years, then the whole district will be up for review in 2027. If you join one a couple of years into the program, then you do not get the whole ten years before you have to be reviewed again. Again, The Planning Commission acting as the Advisory Board and the Planning Commission, both recommended approval of the district. I will be glad to answer any questions.

Chairman Jones states alright, thank you. Any questions for Mrs. Lewis? The public hearing is now open. Anyone for or against this application. The public hearing is now closed. What say you Board?

Supervisor Phillips states this is in the Capron voting districts. It joins one of my farms. I would make a motion that we approve the ag and forestry district that was presented.

Supervisor West states second.

Chairman Jones called for a vote.

The Board approved with a voice vote recorded as follows:

Dallas O. Jones	AYE
Ronald M. West	AYE
R. Randolph Cook	AYE
Alan W. Edwards	AYE
S. Bruce Phillips	AYE
Barry T. Porter	AYE
Carl Faison	AYE

The motion carried.

Chairman Jones states go to e.

Michael Johnson states the final public hearing, Mr. Chairman, relates to the ordinance providing for the application and disposition of requests for the Equalization of Real Estate Assessments. This public hearing is held pursuant to § 15.2-1427, Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended to receive public comment on an ordinance to establish February 15, 2018 as the date by which applications must be made by property owners or lessees to the board of equalization for relief. The ordinance further establishes March 30, 2018 as the deadline by which all applications must be finally disposed of by the board of equalization. The notice of this public hearing was published in the Tidewater News on November 12 and November 19, 2017 as required by law. After conclusion of the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors will consider the comments offered this evening before considering adoption.

Chairman Jones states alright. The public hearing is now open. Anyone for or against this application.

Ash Cutchin states I have a question. I did not write down the dates. How much time is there before the last deadline?

Michael Johnson states six weeks.

Ash Cutchin states six weeks. The Assessor Board has had three days of hearings so far. At the rate people are coming to us complaining, Mr. Updike has not been yet, I do not think. The rate people are coming to us complaining, six weeks might be a stretch to get it done.

Michael Johnson states that is from the deadline. They will actually start receiving applications early in January and receive their training. So somewhere between 60 and 90 days.

Ash Cutchin states I remember two cycles ago, the Board of Equalization took more than six months. I just want to make sure you have enough time.

Michael Johnson states this is what we allowed six years ago.

Ash Cutchin states okay. We had to extend it, didn't we?

Michael Johnson states no.

Ash Cutchin states I am in favor of this.

Chairman Jones states anyone else. The public hearing is now closed. What say you Board?

Supervisor Porter states I move that we adopt this.

Supervisor Phillips states second.

Chairman Jones called for a vote.

The Board approved with a voice vote recorded as follows:

Dallas O. Jones	AYE
Ronald M. West	AYE
R. Randolph Cook	AYE
Alan W. Edwards	AYE
S. Bruce Phillips	AYE
Barry T. Porter	AYE
Carl Faison	AYE

The motion carried.

Chairman Jones states go to number 11.

Michael Johnson states number 11, Mr. Chairman, deals with next steps for the Southampton Courthouse. We have invited Moseley Architects to present you with a report summarizing. Mr. Tony Bell and Elliot Wall are here representing Moseley. I think Mr. Bell will take the lead on that presentation.

Tony Bell states Mr. Jones and Supervisors, good evening. I am here to answer any questions and give a short presentation on where we have been and where we are headed, perhaps, with the Southampton County Courthouse. So our agenda will be brief. I know you have already been through this and you have a few more items to get through on your agenda tonight. I will go through this rather rapidly. If you have any questions, please, interrupt me, I am here to answer any questions you have. We will go through the process completed to date, what the referendum means, the option 2, show cause, construction procurement and delivery methods, I understand there have been some questions on that subject, and then next steps. So the process completed to date. In November 2015, the County underwent some security renovation, design, currently 75% complete; judge recommended design stop and County look at the larger needs and deficiencies. February 2016, the evaluation of Courthouse Needs were completed by PMA Architecture. The conclusion was that new building and expansion/renovation would be roughly the same cost. In July 2016, the County issues RFP for Architectural/Engineering Services for Courthouse Facilities; Moseley Architects performs those pre-referendum services consisting of: a space need confirmation of the space needs of the PMA study, a site analysis of nine different sites, a conceptual design for new courthouse and expansion and renovation of existing, a preparation of budget estimates related to conceptual design, the conclusion was that a new building and expansion/renovation on the current building would be roughly the same cost. In July 2017, County petitions Circuit Court for election on the question on the removal of the courthouse; Circuit Court issues writ of election for removal of Courthouse. In November 2017, referendum for removal of courthouse did not pass. So what does the referendum vote mean? It means that the County must meet its Circuit Court needs on its existing parcel or contiguous parcels. The County cannot petition the Circuit Court for another writ of election with regard to removal of the Courthouse for another ten years. That is all it means. Option 2, which was developed and did not go to referendum, it meets the 20 years space needs as developed by PMA Architecture and confirmed by Moseley Architects. It meets Virginia Courthouse Facility Guidelines. It meets the approval of the Courts and Constitutional Officers. It implements the phased expansion and renovation of the existing courthouse. And it corrects the deficiencies identified by the February 2016 Study completed by PMA Architecture. What does that option 2 consist of? It consists of the demolition of the 1960's addition of the existing Courthouse. And the construction of a new 2-story expansion of your existing court facility. The numbers you see here are the parking spaces. 9 would be developed behind the Courthouse for a secured parking area. 48 of the existing spaces adjacent to the Courthouse would remain. 4 accessible spaces, ADA spaces, if you will, will remain on the street. 75 new spaces would be developed in the existing space in front of the office here. An additional 20 spaces would be allocated here, adjacent to the office center. In actuality the spaces here, would probably be allocated for court use and these spaces would probably be allocated for office center use, but that can all be determined in the future. The layout of this option 2 consists of an expansion to be inhabited by the Circuit Court clerk. A new first floor J&DR court. Holding cells and a J& DR clerk space, to the rear of the building. This is obviously the main street through Courtland. The second floor would have two courtrooms. One for the Circuit Court, which is basically where they are now, and J&DR court with holding cells in between them. Jury

Assembly space. Judges' chambers and jury deliberation room directly behind the courtroom. General District Court space, Commonwealth's attorney immediately adjacent to the General District Courtroom. The utility and mechanical/ electrical space, will basically be abandoned. That space is the lowest level of the existing building and has a tendency to flood during flood events. I think that you are well aware of those conditions based on Mr. Johnson's previous presentations. So, in a nutshell, this 1960 addition, upstairs and downstairs will be demolished. The existing 1824 and 1996 expansion will be renovated and the area you see in blue will be temporary construction, which will be used to implement renovations and expansions of that existing building. This will be demolished and we would have temporary facilities. So why does the 1960's portion get demolished? That is a question that has been asked and has been discussed throughout the election process. It was originally constructed as County office space, the space is not easily adaptable to become courthouse space requiring the separate circulation paths for public, court staff; and detainees. Those three paths should never cross in Courthouse designs, until you reach the courtroom. They must remain separate and distinct. So to try and turn that 1960's addition into Courthouse and Courtroom space, it is extremely difficult. The floor to floor heights do not allow for integration of building systems to meet current building codes while allowing for taller ceiling heights required in courtrooms due to judge's bench platform (Refer to page 2-8, Chapter 2, item II. F. of the *Virginia Courthouse Facility Guidelines* with regard to recommended ceiling heights for courtrooms.) I am not sure if you gentlemen have read the guidelines, but I have a copy of it here if you would like to review it. Vertical expansion of an additional floor or floors is not economically feasible as all existing columns and footings would have to be reinforced to provide additional bearing capacity. It may have been the intent of the 1960's designers to put a second floor on top of the records room, but the 1960 building code and the 2017 building code, obviously, have different requirements. To add a second floor to that building, at this point, would be possible, but in our estimation, cost prohibitive. Okay. Bearing wall construction does not provide the opportunity for 30 foot to 40 foot spans, required for courtroom placement. As a result, anytime we take out a bearing wall construction, we have to add columns. Imagine a space, such as this one, right now, trying to hold court when you have a column in the middle of the room. Could be done, but functionally, really not feasible. It was the consensus of both PMA Architecture and Moseley Architects that the 1960's expansion, though viable for office space then, it is probably not viable for Courthouse space. Here you see an example of a courthouse design. Floor heights that are required. The existing floor heights. It is only 11 feet. And this one is only 10 feet. It is very difficult to get those higher ceilings required for places, such as records rooms and courtrooms. During the course of the conceptual design process, also made an option that we referred to as option 2A. This does not meet the 20 years space needs as developed by PMA Architecture and confirmed by Moseley Architects. It does not meet the Virginia Courthouse Facility Guidelines. They do not meet the approval of the Courts. It implements the phased expansion and renovation of the existing courthouse and corrects some of the deficiencies identified by the February 2016 Study completed by PMA Architecture, but by no means at all, the goal of this development of option 2, is basically, could we do something for less money. Less than \$26 million dollars. The best mark that settled on was approximately \$7.5 million dollars. What we would do here is leave the 1960's addition and construct some other additions on to the building. One on the front, after demolition on the colonnade and one in the rear of the building, adjacent to the 1996 expansion. There would be two story additions and then renovate portions of the 1960's. You see the areas here in gray that we would renovate. The result would look something like this. The gray is obviously the existing Courthouse and the yellow shows the expansion and the secured parking area. And then, open air, sally port. They could get access to the third courtroom. On the first floor you have the General District Court. Basically exactly where it is now. Holding cells. General District Court Clerk. Circuit Court would be decentralized, in that they would have two spaces in the building to support their space needs. The Commonwealth's Attorney would occupy some of the space that they do now, as well as some space in that new addition. The new Courtroom would be here in the 1960's addition. You see the four columns here. They would basically be in the position of where the existing bearing walls are. There will be no holding cells for this J&DR Courtroom. And if the Sheriff's office needed to move a detainee, to serve in Court; instead of walking in the public area or the Judge's area, they would drive them by vehicle into this open air sally port, into the first floor elevator, up to that second floor J&DR Courtroom. Obviously, that is logistically more difficult than what would be afforded by a holding cell, which would be adjacent to the courtroom. The basement area would still be used for mechanical and electrical space. We would try to raise that up to the greatest extent possible, but the options are limited. So Judge Eason has responded to Option 2A, which is keeping the 1960's addition and reducing cost identified. I will not go through all of them. You have a copy in your agenda package. These are the deficiencies. Many

of them had already been identified by PMA Architects, in their 2016 Study. So with regard to Show Cause. There has been discussion. Are there any questions at this point? There has been a lot of discussion about a show cause and what that option would bring down to the County as far as moving forward. Mosely Architects has been involved with some show cause orders, where Circuit Courts Judges have, basically, ordered judicial acts for the County and deemed that the existing Courthouse facilities are deficient. It slows the process down, honestly. And it also makes it more costly. We could sit here and discuss the merits to that all evening. I have given you a link, Mr. Fowler asked you to also look at that. I would encourage you to talk to the localities of Charlotte County and also Halifax County. It brings in an attorney, the Commonwealth, an architect in to act with the Commonwealth. It creates a more costly process for the County. So instead of spending the tax payer's dollars on bricks and mortar to get you more square footage, or improved square footage, you now are investing dollars in communication and additional sets of eyes. I will not read the Code of Virginia, Mr. Railey is well versed in the Code of Virginia and he can decipher this and better define it for you. You will see here in the middle, all costs associated with the five member panel. After approval by the local governing board that has been paid by the county that appointed the panel. It is an option. Okay. I do not think, at this point, I will not speak to that. But it is an option. Okay. As far as construction, procurement, and delivery methods. There are many methods that we can move forward with, as far as the courthouse is designed and constructed. The most traditional is what is referred to as Design – Bid – and Build. It is the “Standard” procurement method in Virginia, in which the lowest responsive, responsible bidder with the lowest bid wins the contract. Basically, architecture firms design the building, it is advertised on the street. Bids are submitted. And the lowest responsive and responsible bidder is awarded the contract. And move forward. You can prequalify contractors to mitigate risk of being “stuck” with unqualified contractor. Another methodology is Construction Manager at Risk. This allows the locality to select contractor based on qualifications and experience. Contractor works independently of architect during the design process. The County makes “real” cost decisions. What I mean by that versus the traditional Design, Bid, and Build is we will have a cost estimator on our team. They will be giving estimates to the County throughout the design process. However, with the Construction Manager at Risk, the estimates provided by him, he is locked into that. Okay. He is locked into that, to provide it to the courthouse at those costs. Therefore the cost that the County makes is real cost decisions. Does that make sense? One of the down sides is that it lacks general contractor cost competition. You are only getting one person's view as far as the cost for the court facility. The County can pay cost premium. We have seen that through other localities. If you would like to talk to some folks about that, I can pass all that information to Mr. Johnson. You can give other folks a call to check their experience. Another methodology is Design and Build. This allows a locality to select a contractor based on qualifications and experience. The County has a single contract. One deficit of this is that the County does not benefit from independent design professional. Being as you only hold one contract. Lastly, Public-Private Education Act (PPEA). It is best when the County knows exactly what they want. You can well define it to those who bid. It would be unsolicited or solicited. Then there is what we call modified PPEA. You could let an architectural firm design up to a point, through 65% design or even 100%, and then you put out a solicited PPEA to allow contractors and construction firms to submit options to the County to for the construction of the facility. We have delivered courthouses through all these methodologies. We would be happy to move forward with you in any of them. So next steps. If we move forward this evening, the next step is confirmation of space program and conceptual plan. As Mr. Vick identified, some time has passed since our last meeting with the different usage groups. And the Board of Supervisors has not been intimately involved with the courthouse design process. We would take time to sit down with you and with the court users and walk through everything that has occurred to date and confirm that this is the best direction to head. Next step will be confirmation of budget and schedule. We want to make sure that the County is comfortable with the budget that is proposed and also the schedule that is imposed, as far as implementation. And then we move into schematic design, which will be roughly 2 months. Design Development phase, which would take you to 35% design, which would be another 3 months. Construction Documents, which would take you to the bidding phase. Okay. Permitting, which would basically have planning and zoning folks here from the County, review the plans and give their blessing before they go out on the street. Bidding and award would be approximately 3 months. Obviously, anything that would be awarded would come back to you. We would meet with you at many intervals during the design process. And then the construction process, we estimate right now to be approximately 15 months. So tonight, in summary, we come before you with a request for an approval to move forward with the program and conceptual plan confirmation. As far as time and schedule, it is really your pleasure, but you need to understand that escalating construction costs will erode the ability to

meet needs for the same cost. The value of today will be different than what you can get for a dollar in the future. We are estimating the escalation of construction costs is currently estimated at 3.5% per year. For every year you wait, or any locality, you are eroding that ability to purchase by about 3.5%. I thank you for your time this evening. Chairman Jones, I welcome any questions that you may have.

Chairman Jones states alright. Any questions?

Supervisor Wet states it is difficult. I do not want to over extend what we can pay for it, but I know we have to do what is needed, 20, 40 years from now. And not a fix for the next 5 to 10 years. I know that we need to move forward, but I think I need a little more time. I think you have explained this, but I need some more time to sit down and talk with some more people and yourself to get some more information.

Chairman Jones states Mr. Faison.

Supervisor Faison states I certainly appreciate everything that has gone into this, but I agree with Mr. West that we are not able to move forward tonight. I think we need to get the people together to discuss this.

Chairman Jones states Mr. Porter.

Supervisor Porter states I have voiced concerns about this for about 6 years, since I have been on the Board. We have been talking about this. Five years ago when we were going through the security upgrades, we thought we had a plan. And I remember in one meeting, I looked at Judge Delk and said, if we do all this and we get some new judges in here, are we going to be faced with building a new courthouse anyway within five years? He looked at me and said, no, you are not. And then he retired. And the next thing we know, the next judge said, well stop what you are doing, it is not going to work. I want to do what is necessary and required to meet the requirements of the court. I want to do it in the most cost effective way. I have real concerns about spending \$26 million dollars. I think some people look at the cost and they think about \$26 million dollars. It is what the building costs. That is not the case. If you look at the rough estimates in these documents, the new building at the new location was \$13.5 million dollars. Everything else was around it. When you look at option 2, the real cost of the construction is a little over \$15 million. \$12 million dollars to tear down the 1960's addition and another \$3 million dollars to renovate the courthouse itself. Then you have \$2 million dollars of temporary expenses for relocating people. Which to me is a throw away cost. The site for our courthouse was a bad site in 1834 and it is a worse site today. We have to build it on that side or renovate, that side or somewhere contiguous. Now, my question is, can we find a contiguous site which is better. Can we go across the road? What would it cost us, if we were to buy the Southampton office park across the road, which is contiguous. In my mind, none of these rough calculations, I could cut out \$5 million dollars in these costs in option 2, if I just move it over there. Probably more because I am outside of the floodway. I am still in the flood zone. There is a huge difference from the floodway and the flood zone. So I would like to know at the next meeting, what would it cost us, maybe I am the only one on the Board, but I would like to know, do we have options that can reduce the cost below the \$26 million substantially and can we cut 5, 6, or 7 million dollars out of it, that would make it more achievable. And maybe the location would be okay, across the street, instead of sitting on the riverbank. The big flood comes up the riverbank and in the cartoons it would be in the river. Well it is not quite that bad, but there is still a big issue with a major flood, if we build it on that side of the road.

Chairman Jones states alright, Mr. Cook.

Supervisor Cook states I do think that we need to look, if there are other options, then we certainly need to explore those options. I am like Mr. Faison, we do not have to move so quickly that we do not do that. We need to do what is right with the least amount of money to meet the needs of the County for the next 20 or 30 years. We do not need to do a quick fix and we need to get something that will serve the County for many years. Whatever we need to do that. If it means waiting a little while before moving forward, then I am okay with that.

Chairman Jones states alright, Dr. Edwards.

November 27, 2017

Supervisor Edwards states I think you will find the Board is in agreement that we cannot spend \$26, \$27 million dollars. That we have to come up with an option that satisfies all the rules and that we can be proud of, and yet not spend the taxpayer's money. I just cannot see that tax burden falling on the citizens of Southampton County. So we have to put our thinking hats on and get together and come up with option b, c, or whatever it is. I am not ready to make a decision tonight, except on that.

Chairman Jones states alright, Supervisor Phillips.

Supervisor Phillips states yes, Mr. Chairman. I would say that after all my colleagues have voiced their opinion, that I am of the same opinion. That we not rush into anything. That we look at the costs. I had a discussion with Mr. Porter, prior to our meeting. I would really like to explore that option, as long as we are in a contiguous location, are there other options that we have not pursued. This proposal, seems, certainly looking into. Again, I want to begin a dialogue again to make sure that we are completely in agreement on what the judges want. And then how we can best achieve that. I think that is what the public wants us to do. This is an important decision that will affect us all. We need to make sure to make the right decision.

Supervisor Edwards states Mr. Chairman. I think that the important thing that we need to decide first is the road map here. How are we going to put all this together? What our steps are and we need a road map first. We need some sort of plan to how we are going to go about this before we do anything else.

Chairman Jones states alright.

Tony Bell states Chairman Jones.

Chairman Jones states yes.

Tony Bell states that is the vision of your County Administrator as well. So the request that we are bringing you tonight is not one to proceed with option 2. Not to proceed with the design. It is a confirmation of space needs and to meet with the County officials, such as yourselves. We understand that this is not an easy decision. \$26 million dollars is a lot of money. Half of that is a lot of money. Once we get confirmation of those space needs, we get with the County and the court users; that is the clerks, the judges, and constitutional officers. Then we start looking at other options. And those options may include, across the road, or the two additional parcels on the same side of the road. So that is what our proposal before the County and the Board of Supervisors is for this evening. It is not to move forward with option 2. It is to confirm, is this the right option and are there other alternatives that we have not investigated. And Supervisor Porter, you asked why was that not looked at. We looked at 9 different parcels. That was not one of the identified parcels. Two of those were eliminated before we even began work.

Supervisor Porter states I do not think that I asked why. I asked was it?

Tony Bell states it was not.

Supervisor Porter states okay. We sit here and you give us the space needs and you get a professional architect and you get the blessing from the courts, and yet, how do we know that they are not excessive. We are not experts. We can read the guidelines, but how can I determine if 43,000 square feet the right court size for us, or could we do it for 35,000. I do not know the answer to that. I have to have faith on you and the courts and the interpretations of the guidelines that, that is required. Not what you wanted, but what is required.

Tony Bell states right, the space needs assessment.

Supervisor Porter states one of the things that I do not want, I reference my previous conversation with the previous judge and all of a sudden it changes. I think that we need to be careful that we do not go into a half-baked project. A new set of judges 5 to 10 years down the road and they say now I need a new courthouse. We spend \$10 million dollars now and in 5 more years, we still have to build a new courthouse. We need to do like Mr. West said, we need to make sure that what we do is going to be good for 30, 40, 50 years.

Supervisor West states I also liked hearing about Halifax. I think getting more information for you and us as well, because you certainly opened up my thought pattern that way. To say wait a minute, I want to know more. We are concerned about spending. Dr. Edwards said it very well. We do not have the money to spend \$26 million dollars, okay. It just is not available. Not unless we increase the taxes to do it. But anyway, you have done a great job on this presentation. I think time is the thing that we warrant tonight and need to move forward. We are not going away.

Supervisor Porter states we have to give him some direction on how to move. If we want them to explore options. Are we comfortable with the space needs?

Supervisor West states well I want to reexamine the space needs. Like we said, when we started this project, how many months has that been.

Tony Bell states a little more than a year.

Supervisor West states right. Like they said, the judge today might say, okay I am happy. The judge tomorrow or three years from now, might say nope, cannot do that.

Supervisor Porter states that is why we also have to rely on not just one group of people, but we have to depend on architects that have worked with the guidelines and whether or not we have any exposure for future expenses.

Supervisor West states it is not dead in the water.

Supervisor Porter states what do we do to confirm the space needs. Who do we believe? Do we believe the architects?

Supervisor Edwards states we have to meet the guidelines. We have to start and put our trust in somebody. We as a Board, I think, we are not experts.

Supervisor Porter states you were on the courthouse committee. Do you think the space needs are appropriate for our needs?

Supervisor Edwards states to tell you the truth, I thought it was a bit too large. I think the space that we had planned; we could have compromised on that.

Supervisor Porter states well let me ask you, is there any way we can get...we are probably talking about nickels and dimes. When you are talking about a 35,000 square foot building or a 45,000 square foot building, you have got the same basic system and the increments of cost. The whole building is \$13 million dollars.

Supervisor Faison states is there a minimum space needs in the state guidelines? Looking at those and then looking at the space needs that is being proposed here, is there a big difference?

Tony Bell states no sir.

Supervisor Faison states so we cannot go below the guidelines anyway.

Tony Bell states not significantly. Supervisor Porter, you threw out two numbers there. 35,000 and 45,000. Once again, additional meetings may be able to shave some, but I do not see us being able to shave 10,000 square feet off. We are at the low end of the courthouse facility guidelines. So if there is a range, we have selected the low range. Does it meet the requirements, yes it does.

Supervisor Porter states you selected the low range.

Tony Bell states yes. It was based on consultations with the clerks. And the judges and the constitutional officers.

Supervisor Porter states in your experience, if we went the court mandated route, then how do they apply the guidelines.

Tony Bell states Mike, do you want me to answer that? Or do you want to take that question.

Michael Johnson states you can start and I will follow up if needed.

Tony Bell states I say that because Mr. Johnson has had conversations with the County Administrator in Charlotte County. They have gone through the process. Obviously some processes can be more difficult than others. What we have experienced is that typically the Commonwealth's Attorney and the Commonwealth's Architect are going to hold you to the higher standard, to a higher number. And use the justification that it is using these guidelines and that is it. Do I say, that is how it is going to play out here in Southampton if a show cause order is submitted, I do not know. We will make every effort on behalf of the county to get you maximum benefit for every dollar spent. We do not see benefit in attorney's fees and architects, no offense, Mr. Railey, and attorney and architect fees for the Commonwealth in lieu of bricks and mortar for the County. That is why our recommendation from Mosely Architects is to try to avoid that show cause order at all costs, so that any dollar that is invested is not into communication and litigation; it is into bricks and mortar.

Supervisor Porter states and in your opinion, there is no way we could meet the guidelines with anything smaller in construction.

Tony Bell states not without significant change to the program. The program was developed at the recommendation and requirements of the judge, clerks, and the constitutional officers. I can say it, substantial change. One change would be the City of Franklin's court does not come to the courthouse.

Supervisor Porter states that was my next question. We need to confirm that since the referendum did not go through, if the City of Franklin still going to participate. If they are not going to participate, then we have to redesign.

Tony Bell states correct.

Supervisor Porter states but I have a lot of people saying that, well the reason we need a third courtroom is because we have Franklin involved. But if Franklin becomes involved, they are going to eat another \$2 million dollars cost. They eat 10% more of the cost. It is not going to cost \$2 million dollars to build a courtroom. Is it?

Tony Bell states all total, it probably is.

Supervisor Porter states you think so.

Tony Bell states yes sir.

Supervisor Porter states would we be better with Franklin not involved?

Tony Bell states you are better with Franklin involved because you get a lot of economies at scale.

Supervisor Porter states Franklin is involved in Circuit Court. We are talking about bringing in their General District and J&DR court. If they are going to increase the cost by more than \$2 million dollars, then it is a net loss for the County because that is what part, assuming you are dealing with a roughly 20 million dollar plan. And they bring another 9%, I guess because that is what their cost percentage is, they are going to eat another \$2.1 million dollars in cost. If it would cost us more than \$2.1 million dollars to have them involved in the construction, then the County is having to pay for the difference. I do not think that is very fair.

Tony Bell states okay. That is something that we can look at. Back to your question, Supervisor Porter, without a change to a program, I do not see a reduction with the square footage. Based on our experiences we have not leaned toward the heavy side of the range.

Supervisor Porter states I understand. I think that they are participating in Circuit Court and I hate for the County to subsidize anything.

Tony Bell states right, understood.

Supervisor Porter states if you could get me an answer on that.

Chairman Jones states any other questions? Alright, Mr. Phillips.

Supervisor Phillips states do we need tonight to formulate a plan to go forward? Or do we want to continue this until next month. Or do we want to appoint two Supervisors to get back with Mosely Architects to explore what Mr. Porter said, just to get this process started?

Chairman Jones states I think we need to continue on doing something. If we stop, then it is going to cost us more money.

Supervisor Phillips states right, three point something per year.

Supervisor Edwards states 3.5 per year.

Supervisor Phillips states right, so. Everyone wants to move forward, but at a measured pace.

Chairman Jones states we need to move forward to find out what we really want to do.

Supervisor Edwards states everybody needs to not lose site of the fact that we have around \$7 million in school repairs, right Mr. Johnson.

Michael Johnson states I suspect given the timing and this project, we are willing to move forward with the school roofs, they will not be part of this financing. I do not think the school roofs can wait as long as it is going to take to get through this.

Supervisor Edwards states I think that you are right about that.

Supervisor Porter states the question is, what are our next steps here? First of all, we have to confirm whether Franklin is going to participate or not. And second of all, do we want to explore the possibility of trying to move the court across the street?

Michael Johnson states keep in mind that Mosely has given you all a proposal. It is not automatically confirming option 2. It is simply saying that we are going to go through a process to look at how we arrived at this, then if you all want to begin to look at other programs or other concepts, Mosely has proposed to do those on an hourly basis, not to exceed \$10,000 dollars. So the proposal that you have in front of you, the \$8,600 dollars to go through this confirmation process, but if during the confirmation process you decide you want to explore other concepts or relook at the design, you have that option, you have left that open and you know how much it is going to cost you to go down that road.

Supervisor Porter states but if we go through this confirmation process, does it preclude this work from being used if we do decide to move across the street?

Michael Johnson states I do not think it precludes anything.

Supervisor Porter states I do not want to spend the \$8,600 dollars and then throw it away saying that we are going to do something else. Is this just a reconfirmation of the space needs? Is that what we are working for?

Michael Johnson states in the conceptual design. How the block would fit together to meet those space needs, but it does not lock you into that site, this site, whatever site.

Supervisor Porter states the question I have is, if we were lucky enough to save \$5 million dollars by moving across the street, would the blocks look similar or would the block look different. Is there a substantial difference in the blocks in this option 2 and the blocks in option 1.

Michael Johnson states the blocks would be different, but what drives the blocks over there, is the secure holding cell area over there that you are trying to leave in place. Whereas, if you want to start on a green site, then you would most likely included that secure holding area right in the middle of the facility, which is much more efficient. You might end up rearranging the blocks a bit, looking at another site.

Supervisor Porter states then we would be wasting money to confirm these blocks if there is a real possibility that you might not build on that site.

Supervisor Faison states the only thing being confirmed here is the space needs.

Supervisor Porter states no, he said also the conceptual design of where the blocks fit.

Michael Johnson states you are not approving that. We are saying that we are going to look at it.

Supervisor West states I am intrigued about moving it across the street. I think that we are on board with that and he understands that and can look at that. But we need to move forward tonight with the option of studies out there with the cost up to \$8,600.

Tony Bell states \$8,600 for the space needs with \$10,000 for the conceptual design.

Supervisor Porter states \$8,600 for the space needs. How are you going to do that?

Tony Bell states it is outlined in the letter. I can run through that briefly, but it would consist of meetings with two of you at a time. So it will be four meetings for the Board of Supervisors and eight meetings with the individual users of the courthouse. All the judges, clerks, and constitutional officers. After those meetings are complete, then we come back to the Board here and present what we found, an update. That is the space needs effort. The conceptual design is then taking option 1, which is the one out on Camp Parkway and see what it would take to fit that and on the parcel in front of the office center. Or some adaptation of that or some evolution of that to fit on that site, if that is the County's choice. We do that on an hourly, not to exceed basis.

Chairman Jones states so we need to let you go ahead and get started. We need to go ahead and spend that \$8,600.

Tony Bell states you are not spending the whole \$8,600.

Supervisor West states up to. For time and all. Not to exceed.

Supervisor Edwards states I think after tonight's discussion, you understand where we are coming from.

Tony Bell states I understand very well where you are coming from.

Supervisor West states Mr. Porter, put the motion on the floor that we move forward. As you understand it, please.

Chairman Jones states at least we have something going.

Supervisor Porter states I will move that we contract Mosely to confirm the space needs for any courthouse renovation or construction.

Supervisor Edwards states second.

Chairman Jones called for a vote.

The Board approved with a voice vote recorded as follows:

Dallas O. Jones	AYE
Ronald M. West	AYE
R. Randolph Cook	AYE
Alan W. Edwards	AYE
S. Bruce Phillips	AYE
Barry T. Porter	AYE
Carl Faison	AYE

The motion carried.

Tony Bell states thank you.

Chairman Jones states thank you Mr. Bell, now you can go to work. Go to number 12.

Michael Johnson states number 12, Mr. Chairman, deals with the American Evolution Digital Trail of the Cheroenhaka Nottoway Indian Tribe. In your agenda package you see correspondence from Walt “Red Hawk” Brown, Chief of the Cheroenhaka (Nottoway) Indian Tribe. Their tribal property on Old Bridge Road was selected for inclusion in the *American Evolution Digital Trail* project, which is a tourism initiative from a sub-group of the Jamestown-Yorktown Foundation. This group is planning public events and educational programs in recognition of the 400th anniversary of 1619, which included establishment of the first permanent English colony in North America and the first official Thanksgiving at Berkeley Plantation. The Cheroenhaka (Nottoway) Indian Tribal Heritage Foundation intends to submit an application to install two directional signs on U.S. Route 58, Southampton Parkway, to assist traveling motorists in locating their tribal property. In your agenda packages you will see a mock-up of the signs. Each sign is 15’ wide x 5.5’ tall. These kind of signs are considered Supplemental Guide Signs under VDOT’s Integrated Directional Sign Program (IDSP). The cost of the signs is estimated by VDOT’s consultant at \$17,000. This estimate, provided by VDOT’s program administrator, includes sign fabrication and installation, impacts to utilities or right-of-way, maintenance of traffic costs, and contingencies. While the tribe intends to function as the “Sponsor” under VDOT’s program guidelines, and assume responsibility of the ongoing annual fees and maintenance costs, Chief Brown seeks your consideration in assisting with the initial fabrication and installation cost. Chief Brown is still here in case you have any questions.

Walt Brown III states Mr. Chairman, Vice Chairman, and members of this Board. I thank you so very much for this opportunity to come and address you pertaining to the American Evolutionary Digital Trail, which will raise tourism by 1.5 to 2 million dollars to Southampton County as we look at the commemorative ongoing of 2019, the 400<sup>th</sup> year celebration of Jamestown. I have had the opportunity over the last three years to be a part of the planning side of it. In reference to bringing in the American Revolution digital trail. Let me tell you a little bit about it. I do want to thank Mr. Johnson for the introduction on it. Thank you very much. What is going on is the American Evolutionary Digital Trail are drawing resources from the Library of Virginia, the Virginia Foundation for Humanities and the Virginia Departments of Historical Resources for the celebration of the 400<sup>th</sup> anniversary of Jamestown, 1619 and beyond. This is an interactive, digital trail project. It is designed for tourists to go in and actually key in on certain categories, i.e. American Revolution, Citizenship, Civil Rights, Civil War, Culture, Education, Exploration, Governments, Immigration, Impact of African Americans, Impact of Women, Impact of Virginia Indians, signs, to include slavery. What we are looking at, as far as the signs that we would like to get, on highway 58 east and west bound in the vicinity of the Courtland interchange, is designed to bring tourists here to Southampton County. And as you all know, tourism negates and reduces constituent taxes. And it improves the tax base of the County here. I just want to show you this as an example. We are very fortunate that Cattashowrock Town is an actual name of Native American indigenous village, which was identified by Edward Bland in 1650, when he came to Homeville. Brunswick County did the same thing that we are trying to do back in 2009, 2010 for

Fort Christianna. Fort Christianna was a fort that was established by divisional Lieutenant Governor Alexander Spotswood to colonize Native Americans in Brunswick County. Take a look at this, if you would please, to give you an idea of what Cattashowrock is looking like today and why it is a renowned name now throughout the state of Virginia, to include the tristate that touch basis to Southampton County. Again, this is designed to bring tourists dollars to the County, so what we are asking is that you would support the cost of these signs from a cultural aspect. These are cultural signs. They are brown in color. As I said before, Brunswick County did the same thing for Fort Christianna. If you ever go toward Brunswick County on highway 40, there is another highway because there is another sign off of 58. I would assume that some of you have seen that sign for Fort Christianna. I know our district. We are very fortunate to have been picked up as one of the sites here for Virginia. Now, what we are trying to do will also compliment the upcoming Nat Turner trails. As soon as that comes to fruition, I am sure that Mr. Francis will lock in on this because this is an interactive digital trail design to bring tourist dollars here. Okay. You are looking at millions of dollars. I am not talking about \$10,000, \$15,000, or \$20,000 dollars. I am talking about millions of dollars coming to this County. Okay. We need this. We need these cultural signs. Now, the American Evolutional Trail also has marketing grants. The marketing grants are not for signs. Marketing grants are for brochures and etc. so you can get brochures and other things of that nature. Again, we are asking for the Board to consider this and that you would fund this from a cultural aspect. It is a win win situation for the County and also for the Cattashowrock Indian Tribe and our foundation. I had mentioned about Brunswick County and Fort Christianna, I might also add that Cattashowrock town is not just a made up name. It is an actual 17<sup>th</sup> century replica of the village that William Byrd II of Westover, who served on the Colonial Council in Williamsburg. He came to what is now Southampton County on April the 2<sup>nd</sup>, 1728. He wrote about the village. What we did is, we took his writings and we did a replica of that particular village. If you have not had a chance to go out there and take a look at it then I would request that you would do so. Again, we respectfully request that the County would fund these two signs. After they are erected the Cattashowrock Nottoway Indian Tribe and the foundation will be responsible for all maintenance thereafter of maintaining the signs. That is what we are asking the Board to do. Dr. Edwards, I think that this is in your Jerusalem District. It would help the Jerusalem District. We would request that you would support us on this. And also support the County. This is a win win situation for the County. 1.5 to 2.5 million dollars coming to this County in 2018 and 2019 is not a small piece of money. That is a lot of money. There are billions of dollars that are in tourism every year. I would request and hopefully that we will seize the initiative. Respectfully request that you support the funding of these two signs. These two cultural signs.

Supervisor West states where do you get these figures from, millions of dollars coming into Southampton County.

Walt Brown III states like I said, I have been privileged for the last two years to meetings. I might also add, that I was one of the grandfathers to bringing about tourism to Southampton County and Franklin. Okay. I sat on that Board. When I was on the Board, we made all these meetings and had tourist people come down from Richmond. They looked at the amount of money that would come into this County, depending on the things you do to bring that in. For four years, I served on that committee. Okay. We did the initial planning for to bring tourism in this County. You are looking at billions of dollars coming into Jamestown every year. Billions of tourist dollars come into Virginia every year. You can google it or research it. 2019 commemoration of Jamestown is going to be so big. It is already attracted international tourists. Speaking of international tourists, we have had international tourist at Cattashowrock town since 2009. We had a plaque that was given to us by the Mayor of London. We had several people come from England to present the plaque to us. Germany, Italy, Greece, Turkey, to include Vietnam, Philippines, have actually come out and toured Cattashowrock town. We do four events a year. Every time we do an event, we fill the hotels here in Southampton County and Franklin, every year. You can check with Charlie's Glass, okay. I mean, we overbook them because people are looking at what went on in Southampton County when the first indigenous people were here. Before the Colonials, before the Africans came. And we tell people, the first Africans came in 1619. Not as slaves, but as indentured servants; that is a misnomer. People think that they came here as slaves, but they did not, but as indentured servants. This is a win win situation for the Board. A win win situation for the County. It will compliment all other tourist initiatives that we are looking at through Southampton County, to include the Nat Turner trail. Any other questions Mr. West?

Supervisor West states I was looking and as I look at you, I see Mrs. Amanda. Have you spoken to Amanda at her office about this?

Walt Brown III states Amanda Jarratt?

Supervisor West states yes.

Walt Brown III states I have spoken to Amanda. In fact, Amanda wrote a letter for us, trying to get some support for the signs. However, the information that I got was it is just not possible to get money for the signs. You cannot get cultural signs. You can get marketing, brochures and etc. Amanda has been very kind. She wrote a letter to the American Tourism Society for us. We sought her out and she did in fact send a letter. My tribal member, Gary Barnett is the lead on trying to get a marketing sign. We are looking at all avenues.

Supervisor West states first off, I am not opposed to it. Okay. I do want time to think about what I am looking at because I have read this. I appreciate the presentation. I have been on the site. It is good. I want to see what can come out of this. And myself, I want to look at it another time.

Chairman Jones states anyone else have any questions.

Walt Brown III states well it is geared for 2018 and beyond. This kicks off next year. The County could miss out and lose a lot of money, okay. We need money in this County. We need tourist dollars in this County. It is what we need. You do not come into this County for your tourists, then you do not negate real estate. You do not change anything in my County. You do not mess with the farms or nothing. You have people that come here and go in the Mom and Pop shops, they go into hotels, they go out to eat, etc. Then they leave, but they leave their money where they come to. That is what it is all about. That is what tourism is all about. It is time for the County to seize the initiative on this. And not sit around and think about it. Otherwise, you will be losing. You will be on the tail end of it and you are going to lose out. Other localities are going to be drawing in tourist dollars behind this 2019 commemoration. You are going to be sitting here just waiting. Upfront and early is what it is all about gentlemen.

Chairman Jones states alright. We thank you. Anyone have any questions?

Supervisor Porter states I am flabbergasted that it costs \$17,000 dollars for the two signs.

Supervisor Cook states who did you deal with at VDOT to estimate this?

Walt Brown III states these signs are set up in accordance with the code of VDOT.

Supervisor Cook states yes. Who gave you the estimate?

Walt Brown III states Virginia Tourism.

Supervisor Cook states not VDOT?

Michael Johnson states their consultant Virginia Logos, managed that program that I referenced earlier.

Supervisor Cook states that is a lot.

Walt Brown III states well Brunswick County paid more than that. Let me tell you about poor Christianna, gentlemen. If you have not been there, then you need to go. I was on the planning commission side of Fort Christianna in 2009, when they first put up that brown cultural sign. And what they did instead of rebuilding Fort Christianna, they took a blueprint and they took bricks and went around for the outline of it. And yet, the sign is there. Brunswick County sponsored that sign. They paid the cost of it and they are drawing in hundreds of thousands of dollars in tourism a year because Fort Christianna was established by the Lieutenant Governor Alexander Spotswood in

November 27, 2017

1715 at the end of the Tuscarora War. They colonized indigenous tribes, like the Occaneechi and the Meherin. The same thing that he did with women. When he founded Fort Christianna in 1711, when he took all the Native American people there and taught them Christianity, how to read, write, and do math. If you have been to William and Mary, then you have probably seen the building called Fort Christianna. So the key is, there is a very rich history here in Southampton County. Not only for indigenous Americans, but also for things like slavery and the insurrection. Gentlemen, you have to seize the initiative, otherwise you are going to lose out on money, okay, coming into this County.

Chairman Jones states alright. Thank you, sir. What would you all like to do with this?

Supervisor West states I want to put some more thought into this.

Chairman Jones states Mr. Cook.

Supervisor Cook states I hate to go through Virginia logos. I would like time to check into the sign cost.

Chairman Jones states Mr. Faison.

Supervisor Faison states as far as the cost and all, it is a lot. But I do support the signs. I think there is a lot in the tourist industry that Southampton County can do. We are rich in history that we do not really capitalize on. I think that tourism is something that really needs to be built. I commend Chief Red Hawk and the Indian Tribe for all that has been done. I am impressed by all the work that they have done in the County. I support this. I do not think it would be money thrown away. Obviously, people coming into the County to spend money here, it helps us out. I think we really need to capitalize on that.

Chairman Jones states Dr. Edwards.

Supervisor Edwards states well, I am thinking about all the financial problems that we are facing and no offense to anybody, but at this point, with what we are facing with the courthouse and everything, I am not willing to spend \$17,000 dollars on two signs.

Walt Brown III states may I ask a question, Mr. Chairman.

Chairman Jones states yes.

Walt Brown III states what is the amount of money that this County has received that is set aside for cultural diversity. Tell me. I already know. I want you to tell me. What is the amount of money that has been set aside for cultural and diversity? How is it being used? That is my question. Somebody answer that, Mr. West.

Supervisor West states well, I think it has something to do with Mrs. Updike and the Historical Society.

Walt Brown III states that is not the only entity in this County.

Supervisor West states I know that they are not. I think that they are the primary one that is looked at. And that is a limited amount of money. \$17,000, no, I do not think so. It is a small amount. Mr. Johnson, can you help me?

Michael Johnson states zero.

Supervisor West states zero. I was going to say \$4,000. I do not know where I had that idea from. I do not know. It is intriguing what you are saying. I would like to talk more about the signs. I am hearing, let me think about it. I am hearing, we are already loaded. And Mr. Phillips is getting ready to say something. We are not putting you off, but it is something that needs more thought. One month for me, okay.

Walt Brown III states you are not putting me off, you are putting the County off, okay.

Chairman Jones states alright. Mr. Phillips.

Supervisor Phillips states I would ask, what we just went through with the courthouse, is that we are taking a second look. We are taking a step back. And Mr. Cook, with his experience with VDOT, I am in favor of tourism. If we could ask Mr. Cook to come back to us next month, if he has time, if he could look into it. I think the people would be better served. But \$17,000 is certainly a whole lot of money for two signs. I see what you are saying that it is an investment. You are saying that it is an investment that will bring us millions of dollars, but again, we are all trying to be good stewards of the money that the County spends. I would urge that we continue this for a month and get a report from Mr. Cook, if he can.

Walt Brown III states well you will not find it any different. We have already researched it for two years.

Supervisor Phillips states on the signs?

Walt Brown III states yes, sir. Unless you take out one little word. Cattashowrock Town. And then it may drop it \$2,000 or \$3,000 dollars. But it is not going to sell that. It is not going to sell it and bring tourists here when you do that, okay.

Supervisor Phillips states the research will be for the same signs that you are proposing.

Walt Brown III states well I accept that. Like I said, I have already looked for two years.

Chairman Jones states do you want to make that a motion.

Supervisor Phillips states I would make a motion that we continue this until December and give us an opportunity for further research.

Supervisor West states second.

Walt Brown III states so I need to get back on the agenda for next month?

Chairman Jones states that is what we are doing. It will be on there for next month.

Walt Brown III states I appreciate it and I want to thank you so very much. In parting I would say this, in closing, do not count what you have in your hand now. When it comes to tourism because if you do, then you are going to be on the losing end. You are not going to have the money coming in this County. If you are looking at the courthouse, what you have in your hand and what you have the budget for that, you are going to lose additional money that you could bring here. It is going to hurt the Nat Turner trail when that comes here too. So what you are going to do, cough up 15, 20, 150, \$125,000 dollars for that trail when it comes in? And you are not going to do anything for the indigenous Americans in this County? We were first. So I am not trying to take an issue with you, okay. I am trying to get you to understand what I am saying, but I want to thank you so very much. I really appreciate it.

Supervisor Porter states before you leave out, we are not taking an adversarial position and we are not trying to put you down, but may I recommend that when you come before us to ask for money, do not expect it the same night that you ask for it.

Walt Brown III states no, no, of course not. I was on the Board 8 years, Mr. Porter.

Supervisor Porter states when we are faced with an issue like this and all of a sudden you want a vote on a \$17,000 dollar expenditure, I do not think we are being good stewards to do that unless we have time.

Walt Brown III states I am not asking for a vote tonight. I just want to make sure that the Board understands the consequences of not being up front with this.

Supervisor Porter states we will take action next month.

Walt Brown III states okay, super.

Supervisor Porter states you will get your answer next month.

Walt Brown III states yea or nay.

Supervisor Porter states yea or nay.

Walt Brown III states thank you.

Chairman Jones states you will get an answer next month. Thank you. Alright. We will take a five minute break. We are now back in session. Go to number 13, Mr. Johnson.

Michael Johnson states number 13, Mr. Chairman, included in your agenda packages, you will find a real estate purchase option agreement for 242.779 acres of property that is contiguous to the County's industrial park on Rose Valley Road. It is currently owned by Patricia and Paul Milteer. The agreement provides that, for a fee of \$5,000, the County will have a non-exclusive option to purchase the property anytime during the next three years. If not exercised in that 3-year period, the option will automatically renew for one additional year, for an additional \$3,000. If the option is exercised, the purchase price will be determined based upon an appraisal performed by a licensed appraiser selected by the County. The owners, however, reserve the right to require a second appraisal performed by an appraiser of their choosing. If there is still disagreement at that point on the fair market value of the property, the two appraisers will select a third appraiser whose appraisal would be binding upon both parties. The cost of all appraisals, mentioned above, is to be borne by the County. As the option is non-exclusive, it further provides that the County will maintain a 30-day right of first refusal, if the owners should receive a bona fide written purchase offer from any other interested parties during the term of this agreement. The agreement affords the County access to the property to make certain engineering, soil, wetlands, and other environmental tests and studies, and to initiate a zoning change to Industrial M-2, the same classification as the County's industrial park. Should the County elect to exercise the option for only a portion of the property, it further provides that the County will agree to acquire the balance of remaining property within 18 months, and the owner has agreed to finance the purchase over five years at the prime rate, as published by the *Wall Street Journal*. The intent of the option agreement is to allow the County to effectively market this property for industrial purposes by providing us with site control, allowing us to initiate the change in zoning, and allowing us to proceed with necessary due diligence required by most industrial prospects. We have with us tonight Mrs. Milteer, the owner of the property, as well as her attorney, Mr. William Riddick. And I think, Mrs. Amanda Jarratt is also here, if you all have any questions about the marketing side.

Chairman Jones states any Board member have any questions?

Supervisor West states I know we get requests and have conversations from time to time about larger tracts of land. This opens up that potential that we will then be in a position to say, yes we do have one, next to our industrial park. That is the importance for this particular piece of land, without buying, but with an option.

Supervisor Edwards states I think this is an excellent idea. We are down to a limited amount of space with what we have now. If we have somebody that comes in and wants 100 to 150 acres, then we are out of luck.

Supervisor West states I agree with you.

Chairman Jones states so gentlemen, we need to act on this.

Richard Railey states Mr. Chairman, I want to cut Mr. Riddick's billable hours and tell you that it is a good contract.

Chairman Jones states alright. What say you Board?

Supervisor West states I will make a motion if we are ready. I make the motion that we authorize the County Administrator and the County Attorney to proceed with this agreement as soon as possible.

Supervisor Edwards states second.

Chairman Jones called for a vote.

The Board approved with a voice vote recorded as follows:

Dallas O. Jones	AYE
Ronald M. West	AYE
R. Randolph Cook	AYE
Alan W. Edwards	AYE
S. Bruce Phillips	AYE
Barry T. Porter	AYE
Carl Faison	AYE

The motion carried.

Chairman Jones states go to number 14. This is something that we do every year.

Supervisor West states yes it is. Since I have been on the Board, year after year, payroll is disbursed before the Christmas or December meeting. So, Friday, December the 15<sup>th</sup>, it is a tradition that I think is just fine and I would make the motion at this time that we have early payroll disbursement.

Supervisor Faison states second.

Chairman Jones called for a vote.

The Board approved with a voice vote recorded as follows:

Dallas O. Jones	AYE
Ronald M. West	AYE
R. Randolph Cook	AYE
Alan W. Edwards	AYE
S. Bruce Phillips	AYE
Barry T. Porter	AYE
Carl Faison	AYE

The motion carried.

Chairman Jones states go to number 15.

Michael Johnson states item 15, Mr. Chairman, in your agenda packages, you will see correspondences from the Honorable Danny Williams, Mayor of the Town of Courtland. The town remains interested in pursuing a Historic District Designation by the National Register of Historic Places and/or the Virginia Landmarks Register. The purpose of these designations is to officially recognize the cultural, architectural and landscape features of a historically significant area. National Register designation confers financial benefits on historic district property owners by allowing them to claim investment tax credits for certain rehabilitation projects. In order to qualify for designation, it is necessary to compile a historic resources survey to locate and identify properties within a specific geographic area and document them to an established standard. This involves collecting and organizing information from field investigations and gathering data from historical research, interviews and other research resources. The Virginia Department of Historic Resources (VDHR) operates a "Cost-Share" program to assist localities in performing the required surveys. The program is competitive, but for those communities that are selected, VDHR will match the amount of money the locality is willing to commit for the project. Next spring, VDHR will invite local governments to submit proposals. Evaluation of the proposals usually takes about a month. During the following two months, VDHR works closely with the selected local

November 27, 2017

governments to develop a scope of work and advertises for consultants to carry out the projects, using the Request for Proposal (RFP) method. The scope of work calls for at least two public meetings in the locality, along with ongoing dialogue with county and town officials who have been designated as contacts by the local government. The consultants' proposals are evaluated and a contract award is made. The general time frame for completing each project is 15 months from the time a consultant is selected. The current estimated cost of the work for the Town of Courtland is \$40,000 to \$50,000. Accordingly, the local share is expected to range between \$20,000 and \$25,000. Mayor Williams seeks your consideration in participating in this project. Mrs. Updike, also, made me aware that the Historical Society intends to participate, at least up to a level of \$1,000.

Chairman Jones states alright. Gentlemen, do you have any questions?

Supervisor West states I see after the process is initiated and you go through it, there is still no guarantee that it would be a historical designation.

Lynda Updike states yes, it is.

Supervisor West states that is not what I thought I read.

Michael Johnson states once they fund the project, they are committed to the designation.

Supervisor West states okay. It does not mean that your property is automatically listed in the Virginia landmarks register, that is what I read.

Michael Johnson states what that is saying is that every property within the district may not be designated. It may be in the geographic footprint, but every property would not necessarily qualify individually.

Supervisor West states okay. That needs clarification. Again, I am going to say that this has come up really quick and I need some time to think about it, but you said spring. Did I hear that?

Lynda Updike states the application is due March 31<sup>st</sup>.

Chairman Jones states Mr. Faison.

Supervisor Faison states I need to understand it better.

Chairman Jones states Mr. Porter.

Supervisor Porter states I can see the benefit for the town. I am trying to see the benefit for the County.

Chairman Jones states Mr. Cook.

Supervisor Cook states certainly there is a benefit for the Town of Courtland and that would be for the County too. It is in Southampton County. Do you have an amount that we would need to pay?

Chairman Jones states yes, down there at the bottom.

Danny Williams states we would appreciate if you would fund the entire operation. But we will take whatever you can afford. I really appreciate Chairman Jones, Vice-Chairman, members of the Board; and especially Mr. Johnson for putting this together. It was an excellent presentation and I appreciate, even more, following the group that I just followed. Compared to a sign, this seems kind of cheap. Our investment will be between \$20,000 to \$25,000. And that is a one-time purchase. Once we are listed, we are listed forever. There is no maintenance. No yearly funding. Seems kind of cheap to me.

Chairman Jones states Dr. Edwards.

Supervisor Edwards states well I think it would be a good idea.

Chairman Jones states Mr. Phillips.

Supervisor Phillips states again, the question that I would ask is, I am sure you would accept our total funding, but our funding is contingent upon your...the \$20,000 to \$25,000 would be either the County or the Town, or some share thereof with the historical society, if you are chosen in this funding cycle. Is that correct?

Danny Williams states that is correct.

Supervisor Phillips states do you have a number that you all are willing to share in this?

Danny Williams states we are just looking to see what investment we can get. We are getting \$1,000 from the Historical Society. We came to the County first. We will seek other funding opportunities to reimburse the town, but again, we would appreciate if you were to fund the entire project. If you want to go in half, do you want to do 15, do you want to do 14.5. I will take anything that you can give us. But realistically, the only way that we will not pursue it, is if we are not selected. We are fairly certain that with our rich history we have a good chance.

Supervisor Phillips states I am sorry that I missed the meeting. I would have been interested in hearing that conversation.

Danny Williams states there again, Mr. Johnson's presentation, he touched on every aspect that they did. They pretty much gave the same information. There is no negative sign to it. They are not held to a standard. There are options. They offer solutions, but renovations or any other rebuild is not contingent upon their approval.

Supervisor Phillips states some cases, it is more stringent.

Danny Williams states there is no reason why a property owner cannot do anything that they want to with their individual property. But as a Historic District, we are on the National Registry. We will sign it. A portion of the City of Franklin is a Historic District. We would like to have the same.

Supervisor Phillips states I was just thinking, I live in a Historic District as well, maybe we need a sign.

Danny Williams states you already have a sign on 35.

Supervisor Phillips states it says we are God's country.

Supervisor Porter states I think we will put this on the agenda for a vote next month. Let us think about it. I do not have anything against it. The thing is, I need to weigh my mind as to, do we split it with you, do we do 5, or half, or 15, or whatever.

Danny Williams states I did not want to come here with a figure. I do want a check before I leave. I expected money and to walk away with a check.

Supervisor Porter states well you know, we do not like that. We do not like being hit up for money and wanting it that night.

Danny Williams states I get it. I do not see a down side really for the Town or the County, so really, Chief Brown hit on it, tourism. We have to offer something to get people here. This is something that we can offer. If you do not have the funding source in place, then they will not select us.

Chairman Jones states alright. We will give you an answer next month.

Danny Williams states I thank you for your time.

Chairman Jones states alright. Go to item 16, miscellaneous.

November 27, 2017

Michael Johnson states number 16, Mr. Chairman, are just the miscellaneous items. You have an annual financial statement for the Department of Social Services. A copy of the newsletter from Southampton High school. A copy of the official abstract of votes from the vote in November from the general election. Some foreclosure notices and notices from the state corporation commission. And finally, we have some items of correspondence that you may find of interest. I will be glad to answer any questions.

Chairman Jones states does anyone have any questions? Do we have any late arriving matters?

Michael Johnson states I have none, Mr. Chairman.

Chairman Jones states any Board member have anything to bring before this Board? Meeting is adjourned.

There being no further business for tonight the meeting adjourned at 9:40pm.

---

Dallas O. Jones, Chairman

---

Michael W. Johnson, Clerk